IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: AMENDMENTS TO THE)	CASE NO
FLORIDA SMALL)	
CLAIMS RULES)	

REGULAR-CYCLE REPORT OF THE SMALL CLAIMS RULES COMMITTEE

Judge Robert W. Lee, Chair of the Small Claims Rules Committee of The Florida Bar, and John F. Harkness, Jr., Executive Director of The Florida Bar, file this regular-cycle report of proposed changes to the Florida Small Claims Rules, pursuant to *Fla. R. Jud. Admin.* 2.140(b).

The Committee proposes amendment to the rules and forms as shown on the attached table of contents (Appendix A). The voting record of the Committee for the change is shown on the table of contents. As required by *Fla. R. Jud. Admin.* 2.130(c)(2), the Committee's report was submitted to the Florida Bar Board of Governors. The board's vote on each amendment is also shown on the table of contents.

The rules and forms that the Committee recommends amending are as follows:

RULE 7.050

A sentence is added to subdivision (a)(1) to ensure that all documents served with initial process are filed with the clerk so that the court can be aware of and have access to these documents. The Committee was concerned that serving documents with initial process other than the statement of claim (especially proposed stipulations) can give an "air of authority" to those other documents, which the pro se litigant might not understand. This concern has been expressed by trial court judges in several published decisions from Duval County. See, e.g., Capital One Bank v. Mullin, 15 Fla. L. Weekly Supp. 262 (Duval Cty. Ct. 2007); North Star Capital Acquisitions, LLC v. Krig, 14 Fla. L. Weekly Supp. 166 (Duval Cty. Ct. 2006); Capital One Bank v. Livingston, 13 Fla. L. Weekly Supp. 1203 (Duval Cty. Ct. 2006).

To obtain a wider view from the state's County Court judiciary, this issue was also presented to the Small Claims Rules Committee of the Conference of

County Judges, the consensus of which was to approve the proposed change.

Rather than an outright prohibition on the serving of additional documents with the statement of claim, the Committee believed that the filing of the additional documents with the court would provide the court a mechanism of review to monitor possible abuse.

RULE 7.090

The proposed changes to rule 7.090 were suggested by a committee member. The intent of the changes to subdivisions (a) and (b) is to add statewide uniformity to the small claims pretrial conference procedure by clarifying the judiciary's role in the small claims process and to bring the rule clearly into alignment with existing form 7.322.

Currently, rule 7.090(b) sets forth six matters that "shall be considered" at the small claims pretrial conference: (1) the simplification of issues; (2) the necessity or desirability of amendments to the pleadings; (3) the possibility of obtaining admissions of fact and of documents that avoid unnecessary proof; (4) the limitations on the number of witnesses; (5) the possibilities of settlement; and (6) such other matters as the court in its discretion deems necessary. Additionally, under rule 7.135, the court is required to summarily enter a disposition at the pretrial conference "if there is no triable issue." Notwithstanding the clear requirements of these rules, the Committee has determined that in several counties, parties in small claims cases simply do not see a judge at a pretrial conference.

At present, pretrial conference procedures differ from county to county, with varying degrees of judicial involvement. While the great majority of Florida counties provide for judges to preside over pretrial conferences, including the largest counties (Miami-Dade, Broward, Palm Beach, and Duval), a significant minority do not. Of those few counties that do not use judges, some use deputy clerks, while others use hearing officers appointed by administrative order. However, form 7.322 (a mandatory form) informs the parties in a small claims proceeding that they are to appear on the specified date and time "for a PRETRIAL CONFERENCE *before a judge* of this court" (emphasis added). While rule 7.090 is silent on the precise extent of judicial involvement at the pretrial conference, the Committee believes the clear intention behind the enumerated items (1–6) in subdivision (b) is for active judicial involvement at the pretrial conference. A quick perusal of the mandatory matters to be addressed at a pretrial conference demonstrates the necessity of judicial decision-making, not merely performance of

clerical functions. Moreover, a review of additional matters that may be addressed at a pretrial conference — such as summary disposition — demonstrates the need for exercise of judicial discretion. The Committee strongly feels that a judge must do these matters, not a clerk or hearing officer.

The Committee has reviewed procedures existing throughout the State. Under the current rule, some counties send small claims cases directly to mediation without any judicial involvement in the case. In some of these counties, no judge is available at the time of the pretrial conference, even upon a party's request. In these counties, upon the entry of an impasse at mediation, these cases are immediately set for trial, obviating items 1–6 in rule 7.090(b), eliminating the court's ability to exercise its summary disposition powers under rule 7.135, and frustrating the ability of a party to request a summary disposition under rule 7.135. The effect is that cases are sent to trial even when a plaintiff has clearly failed to establish a viable claim or a defendant admits that the plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought but is financially unable to offer payment.

Amending rule 7.090 as proposed will further the intent and purpose of the Small Claims Rules by bringing consistency to the rule and the notice to appear, by clarifying the necessity for judicial involvement at the pretrial conference, and by creating the mindset for the parties that their small claims case is a formal, legitimate legal proceeding.

Judge Debra Roberts, the immediate past chair of the Committee, has offered a dissenting opinion. She believes the proposed amendment to rule 7.090 should not be adopted because it represents a retreat from efficient case management. In this time of limited resources, she believes case management is a vital tool in the court system, particularly because of the volume of these cases. The proposed changes to rule 7.090 would eliminate the use of small claims hearing officers to manage the pretrial conference and would require that only a county judge preside over these proceedings. This same proposal was last considered by the court more than 20 years ago in 1988, and was rejected. See *In re The Florida Bar Small Claims Rules*, 537 So. 2d 81 (Fla. 1988). In counties using them, small claims hearing officers provide a valuable service and their work

_

¹ The Committee notes that Judge Roberts' dissenting view was supplied after the vote was taken to approve the amendment, in that Judge Roberts was absent from the meeting at which the final vote was taken. Hence, there is no contradiction with the Committee's representation to this Court that the amendment was approved unanimously.

is essential to the functioning of county court. They function as case managers who identify issues, manage on-site mediation, and prepare cases for trial in accordance with the rule. Unlike some counties where hearing officers are used, in Pinellas County motions are heard by a county judge who is on duty and available for such purposes at the time of the pretrial conference. Contrary to the position of the Committee, Judge Roberts believes this division of labor is consistent with the rules and is efficient. In this time of limited resources and focus on using the least expensive personnel for the job, Judge Roberts believes the proposed rule represents a retreat from prudent management of the court's resources and should be rejected.

The Committee responds that the dissenting view overlooks that neither the rules nor state law provides legal authority for the use of hearing officers and clerks to preside over pretrial conferences. To the contrary, the law appears to be that nonjudicial parties performing judicial duties must have a specific mechanism to affirmatively authorize them to do so. Such a provision is absent from the Small Claims Rules. See Lackner v. Central Florida Investments, Inc., 14 So. 3d 1050, 1052–1054 (Fla. 5th DCA 2009). See also rule 1.490(h), Fla. R. Civ. P. (allowing a party to opt out of a general magistrate proceeding); Rule 6.630(n), Fla. Traf. Ct. R. (allowing a party to opt out of traffic hearing officer proceeding).² Moreover, the Committee unanimously approved the change after receiving documented complaints that the counties using clerks and hearing officers were simply not performing the tasks required at a pretrial conference, and that parties requesting to see a judge did so at their "own peril." Finally, the Committee notes that the great majority of Florida counties are able to use judges at pretrial conferences, while at the same time maintaining effective case management and wise use of judicial resources.

The Committee acknowledges this Court's prior decision in *In re Florida Bar Small Claims Rules*, as pointed out by Judge Roberts. The Committee notes, however, that the concern of this Court at that time appeared to be that the use of mediators not be discouraged, and that the proposed change was suggested by the

_

² Small Claims Rule 7.020(c) permits a County Court Judge to invoke one or more Rules of Civil Procedure. Arguably then, the County Court Judge could invoke rule 1.490(b) to have a special magistrate preside over pretrial conferences. Such a reference, however, would require the consent of both parties under rule 1.490(c) and would further require the special magistrate to file a written report for each conference under rule 1.490(h), allowing the parties to serve written exceptions to the report.

Florida Bar Board of Governors rather than the Small Claims Rules Committee. 537 So. 2d at 81, 89. With the experience of the 20 ensuing years, the Committee now believes the position of The Florida Bar Board of Governors to have been the correct one. Moreover, the Committee believes, as detailed above, that the circumstances in the ensuing two decades since this Court rejected the prior proposal have supported Justice Barkett's dissenting view to adopt the change. *See id.* at 89. Nothing in the current proposal eliminates or discourages the use of mediators as part of the pretrial conference proceeding. Finally, to obtain a wider view from the state's County Court judiciary, this issue and the proposed amendment were also presented to the Small Claims Rules Committee of the Conference of County Judges, and the Conference Committee similarly approved the proposed change unanimously.

Regarding the proposed changes to subdivision (f) and the Court Commentary, this Court adopted changes to the rule on its own on June 19, 2008 (*In re: Amendments to Florida Small Claims Rule 1.090*, 985 So. 2d 1083). The Committee suggests corrections to correct style guide inconsistencies and grammatical errors.

RULE 7.335

The word "/pawnbroker" is added after "defendant" in the first line of the statement of claim, to be consistent with the caption and the intent of the initial adoption of this form, and the title of the form is also amended to reflect this change. Also, a punctuation error in the caption is corrected (the comma before COUNTY in the caption is deleted, and a comma is added after COUNTY).

RULE 7.342

The word "information" is inserted between "fact sheet" in two places, for consistency with the related form and to clarify for the pro se litigant what sheet the pro se litigant must complete.

RULE 7.343

The language "MAIL OR DELIVER THIS FORM TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT, AND" is deleted because the optional enforcement paragraph in form 7.340, which refers to form 7.343, instructs the defendant to return the sheet to the plaintiff's attorney (or plaintiff if unrepresented), not the court clerk. Also, the formatting of the request for documents in (b) is conformed to that in (a).

"JUDGMENT" is added in front of "CREDITOR'S ATTORNEY" at the end of forms (a) and (b), for clarity.

The notary block in (b) is not the proper one for a business entity. The proposed change follows the statute (§ 117.05(13)(c), Fla. Stat.) (see Appendix D). A few other changes are made to conform forms (a) and (b) to each other.

The rest of the changes (*e.g.*, the list of a–d is changed to 1–4 in form (a)) are editing changes, for style and consistency corrections.

<u>Comments received (see Appendix E for full text of comments) and committee</u> action taken based on the comments:

Comments opposing the proposed changes to rule 7.090 were received from Judges Vince Murphy, Eugene Turner, Janeice Martin, Paul Alessandroni, and J. Thomas McGrady, all judges from counties currently using nonjudicial personnel to preside over small claims pretrial conferences. In sum, the comments express a preference that courts be given the flexibility to use the practice they find most efficient. Many circuits have court personnel or hearing officers managing the pretrial conference docket, and the judges in those circuits feel that the process saves time for the judge so they can attend to other matters that cannot be taken care of by other court personnel. As noted above, there was a dissenting opinion on the Committee, opposing the change to require the presence of a judge at pretrial conference. However, the Committee had fully considered all the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed change, and for the reasons set forth fully above in the Committee's Report, the Committee continues to strongly be of the view that the rule should be amended.

The Committee respectfully requests that this Court adopt these proposed amendments to the Florida Small Claims Rules.

Respectfully submitted	, 2010
Respectionly submitted	, 201

HON. ROBERT W. LEE Chair, Small Claims Rules Committee 201 S.E. 6th St., Ste. 331 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301-3302 (954) 831-5509 FLORIDA BAR #500984

JOHN F. HARKNESS, JR. **Executive Director** The Florida Bar 651 E. Jefferson St. Tallahassee, FL 32399-2300 (850) 561-5600 FLORIDA BAR #123390

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished by United States mail to the Honorable Vince Murphy, Collier County Courthouse, 3301 Tamiami Trail E., Naples, FL 34112-4961; the Honorable Eugene Turner, Collier County Courthouse, 3301 Tamiami Trail E., Naples, FL 34112-4961; the Honorable Janeice Martin, Collier County Courthouse, 3301 Tamiami Trail E., Naples, FL 34112-4961; the Honorable Paul Alessandroni, 350 E. Marion Ave., Punta Gorda, FL 33950-3727; and the Honorable J. Thomas McGrady, 545 First Ave. N., Suite 312, St. Petersburg, FL 33701-3705, this _____ day of ______, 2010.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this report was prepared in accordance with the font requirements of Fla. R. App. P. 9.210(a)(2).

MADELON HORWICH

Bar Staff Liaison, Small Claims Rules Committee The Florida Bar 651 E. Jefferson St. Tallahassee, FL 32399-2300 (850) 561-5707 **FLORIDA BAR #316512**

LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix A — Table of Contents

Appendix B — Proposed Changes to Rules and Forms in Legislative Format

Appendix C — Proposed Changes to Rules in Two-Column Format

Appendix D — Background Documents (relevant legislation)

Appendix E — Comments Received after Publication of Proposed Changes

Appendix F — Copies of the Published Florida Bar News Notice

Appendix G — Certification that Proposed Rules Have Been Read Against

West's FLORIDA RULES OF COURT

APPENDIX A

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7.010.	TITLE AND SCOPE	[NO CHANGE]
7.010. 7.020.	APPLICABILITY OF RULES OF	[NO CHANGE]
7.020.	CIVIL PROCEDURE	[NO CHANGE]
7.040.	CLERICAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES	[NO CHANGE]
7.040.	OF CLERK	
7.050.	COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION;	[AMENDED]
7.020.	STATEMENT OF CLAIM	
	Committee vote: 11-5	
	Board of Governors vote: 36-0	
7.060.	PROCESS AND VENUE	[NO CHANGE]
7.070.	METHOD OF SERVICE OF PROCESS	[NO CHANGE]
7.080.	SERVICE OF PLEADINGS AND PAPERS	[NO CHANGE]
	OTHER THAN STATEMENT OF CLAIM	[· · · ·]
7.090.	APPEARANCE; DEFENSIVE PLEADINGS;	[AMENDED]
	TRIAL DATE	,
	Committee vote:	
	(a) and (b) 10-0;	
	(f) and Court Commentary corrections 18	3-0
	Board of Governors Vote: 36-0	
7.100.	COUNTERCLAIMS, SETOFFS, THIRD-PARTY	[NO CHANGE]
	COMPLAINTS, TRANSFER WHEN	
	JURISDICTION EXCEEDED	
7.110.	DISMISSAL OF ACTIONS	[NO CHANGE]
7.130.	CONTINUANCES AND SETTLEMENTS	[NO CHANGE]
7.135.	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	[NO CHANGE]
7.140.	TRIAL	[NO CHANGE]
7.150.	JURY TRIALS	[NO CHANGE]
7.160.	FAILURE OF PLAINTIFF OR BOTH PARTIES	[NO CHANGE]
	TO APPEAR	
7.170.	DEFAULT; JUDGMENT	[NO CHANGE]
7.175.	MOTIONS FOR COSTS AND ATTORNEYS' FEES	-
7.180.	MOTIONS FOR NEW TRIAL;	[NO CHANGE]
	TIME FOR; CONTENTS	
7.190.	RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT OR ORDER;	[NO CHANGE]
	CLERICAL MISTAKES	
7.200.	EXECUTIONS	[NO CHANGE]
7.210.	STAY OF JUDGMENT AND EXECUTION	[NO CHANGE]
7.220.	SUPPLEMENTARY PROCEEDINGS	[NO CHANGE]

7.221.	HEARING IN AID OF EXECUTION	[NO CHANGE]
7.230.	APPELLATE REVIEW	[NO CHANGE]
7.300.	FORMS	[NO CHANGE]
7.310.	CAPTION	[NO CHANGE]
7.322.	SUMMONS/NOTICE TO APPEAR FOR	[NO CHANGE]
7.322.	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE	[TO CITATOL]
7.323	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE ORDER AND	[NO CHANGE]
, , , , , ,	NOTICE OF TRIAL	[
7.330.	STATEMENT OF CLAIM (AUTO NEGLIGENCE)	[NO CHANGE]
7.331.	STATEMENT OF CLAIM (FOR GOODS SOLD)	[NO CHANGE]
7.332.	STATEMENT OF CLAIM (FOR WORK DONE	[NO CHANGE]
	AND MATERIALS FURNISHED)	į vara ya j
7.333.	STATEMENT OF CLAIM (FOR MONEY LENT)	[NO CHANGE]
7.334.	STATEMENT OF CLAIM (PROMISSORY NOTE)	[NO CHANGE]
7.335.	STATEMENT OF CLAIM (FOR RETURN OF	[AMENDED]
	STOLEN PROPERTY FROM PAWNBROKER)	
	Committee vote: 20-0	
	Board of Governors vote: 36-0	
7.340.	FINAL JUDGMENT	[NO CHANGE]
7.341.	EXECUTION	[NO CHANGE]
7.342.	EX PARTE MOTION AND ORDER FOR	[AMENDED]
	HEARING IN AID OF EXECUTION	
	Committee vote: 22-0	
	Board of Governors vote: 36-0	
7.343.	FACT INFORMATION SHEET	[AMENDED]
	Committee vote: 21-0	
	Board of Governors vote: 36-0	
7.344.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	[NO CHANGE]
7.345.	STIPULATION FOR INSTALLMENT	[NO CHANGE]
	SETTLEMENT, ORDER APPROVING	
	STIPULATION, AND DISMISSAL	
7.347.	SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT	[NO CHANGE]
7.350.	CORPORATE AUTHORIZATION TO ALLOW	[NO CHANGE]
	EMPLOYEE TO REPRESENT CORPORATION	-
	AT ANY STAGE OF LAWSUIT	

APPENDIX B

Proposed Changes to Rules and Forms in Legislative Format

RULE 7.050. COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION; STATEMENT OF CLAIM

(a) Commencement.

- (1) **Statement of Claim.** Actions are commenced by the filing of a statement of claim in concise form, which shall inform the defendant of the basis and the amount of the claim. If the claim is based on a written document, a copy or the material part thereof shall be attached to the statement of claim. All documents served upon the defendant with initial process shall be filed with the court.
- (2) Party Not Represented by Attorney to Sign. A party, individual, or corporation who or which has no attorney handling such cause shall sign that party's statement of claim or other paper and state that party's address and telephone number, including area code. However, if the trial court in its discretion determines that the plaintiff is engaged in the business of collecting claims and holds such claim being sued upon by purchase, assignment, or management arrangement in the operation of such business, the court may require that corporation to provide counsel in the prosecution of the cause. A corporation may be represented at any stage of the trial court proceedings by an officer of the corporation or any employee authorized in writing by an officer of the corporation.
- (b) Parties. The names, addresses, and telephone numbers, including area code, of all parties or their attorneys, if any, must be stated on the statement of claim. Additionally, attorneys shall include their Florida Bar number on all papers filed with the court.
- (c) Clerk's Duties. The clerk shall assist in the preparation of a statement of claim and other papers to be filed in the action at the request of any litigant. The clerk shall not be required to prepare papers on constructive service, substituted service, proceedings supplementary to execution, or discovery procedures.
- (d) Memorandum on Hearing Date. The court shall furnish all parties with a memorandum of the day and hour set for the hearing.
- **(e) Replevin.** In those replevin cases to which these rules are applicable, the clerk of the county court shall set the hearing required by section 78.065(2)(a), Florida Statutes (prejudgment replevin order to show cause hearings) and rule 7.050(d) (pretrial conferences) at the same time.

Committee Notes

1988 Amendment. Subdivision (a)(2): To clarify who may appear and represent a corporation in a small claims case.

Subdivision (b): First sentence is to conform Florida Small Claims Rules with Florida Rules of Judicial Administration 2.060(d) and 2.060(e). Second sentence is to conform to proposed amendment to rules of judicial administration.

Subdivision (e): Require that the order to show cause hearing required in small claims replevin cases and the pretrial conference required by the small claims rules be held at the same time to save time and avoid confusion.

<u>2008 Amendment.</u> A sentence is added to subdivision (a)(1) to ensure that the courts have access to all documents served with initial process.

Court Commentary

1972 Amendment. The statement of claim need not be verified.

Subdivision (c) is amended so as to provide that the clerk shall not be required to prepare papers on substituted service.

RULE 7.090. APPEARANCE; DEFENSIVE PLEADINGS; TRIAL DATE

- (a) Appearance. On the date and time appointed in the notice to appear, the plaintiff and defendant shall appear personally or by counsel before a judge.
- **(b) Notice to Appear; Pretrial Conference.** The summons/notice to appear shall specify that the initial appearance shall be for a pretrial conference. The initial pretrial conference shall be set by the clerk not more than 50 days from the date of the filing of the action. At the pretrial conference, all of the following matters shall be considered by a judge:
 - (1) The simplification of issues.
 - (2) The necessity or desirability of amendments to the pleadings.
- (3) The possibility of obtaining admissions of fact and of documents that avoid unnecessary proof.
 - (4) The limitations on the number of witnesses.
 - (5) The possibilities of settlement.
- (6) Such other matters as the court in its discretion deems necessary.

Form 7.322 shall and form 7.323 may be used in conjunction with this rule.

- (c) **Defensive Pleadings.** Unless required by order of court, written pretrial motions and defensive pleadings are not necessary. If filed, copies of such pleadings shall be served on all other parties to the action at or prior to the pretrial conference or within such time as the court may designate. The filing of a motion or a defensive pleading shall not excuse the personal appearance of a party or attorney on the initial appearance date (pretrial conference).
- (d) **Trial Date.** The court shall set the case for trial not more than 60 days from the date of the pretrial conference. At least 10 days' notice of the time of trial shall be given. The parties may stipulate to a shorter or longer time for setting trial with the approval of the court. This rule does not apply to actions to which chapter 51, Florida Statutes, applies.

- (e) Waiver of Appearance at Pretrial Conference. Where all parties are represented by an attorney, counsel may agree to waive personal appearance at the initial pretrial conference, if a written agreement of waiver signed by all attorneys is presented to the court prior to or at the pretrial conference. The agreement shall contain a short statement of the disputed issues of fact and law, the number of witnesses expected to testify, an estimate of the time needed to try the case, and any stipulations of fact. The court shall forthwith set the case for trial within the time prescribed by these rules.
- (f) Appearance at Mediation; Sanctions. In small claims actions, an attorney may appear on behalf of a party at mediation if the attorney has full authority to settle without further consultation. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a nonlawyer representative may appear on behalf of a party to a small claims mediation if the representative has the party's signed written authority to appear and has full authority to settle without further consultation. In either event, the party need not appear in person. Mediation may take place at the pretrial conference. Whoever appears for a party must have full authority to settle. Failure to comply with this subdivision may result in the imposition of costs and attorneys' fees incurred by the opposing party.
- **(g) Agreement.** Any agreements reached as a result of small claims mediation shall be written in the form of a stipulation. The stipulation may be entered as an order of the court.

Committee Notes

- **1972 Amendment.** Rule 7.120 is incorporated in subdivision (c). It is slightly expanded to provide for a computation period from service by mail and to give the parties the right to stipulate to a shorter time for the trial.
- **1984 Amendment.** This change requires the use of a pretrial procedure and requires both parties to attend the pretrial conference which can be used to resolve pretrial motions. The use of a pretrial previously varied from county to county.
 - **1988 Amendment.** (b) 1st sentence Chair's clarification.

2nd sentence — Require the clerk to set the initial pretrial conference within a reasonable time after filing of the action taking into consideration the fact that the time standards guideline for small claims cases is 95 days.

3rd sentence — State within the small claims rules what matters shall be considered at the pretrial conference rather than by reference to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.220(a), which has been amended several times and is generally not applicable to small claims cases.

4th sentence — Direct that new form 7.322 shall and that new form 7.323 may be used statewide.

- (c) Clarifies that a personal appearance is required at the pretrial conference when a defense motion is filed.
- (e) Adds a provision for waiving counsel's appearance at the pretrial conference where all parties are represented by counsel.

Court Commentary

2008 Amendment. The requirement that an attorney attending mediation on behalf of the client have full authority to settle should not be equated to a requirement to settle where one or more parties wants to proceed to trial.

FORM 7.335. STATEMENT OF CLAIM (FOR RETURN OF STOLEN PROPERTY $\underline{FROM\ PAWNBROKER}$)

	IN THE COUNTY COURT, IN AND FOR
	CASE NO.:
Plaintiff,	
vs.	
Defendant/Pawnbroker.	
	ENT OF CLAIM FOR PERTY <u>FROM PAWNBROKER</u>
Plaintiff,, sues defend	ant/pawnbroker, and says:
1. This is an action for the re section 539.001, Florida Statutes.	turn of stolen or misappropriated property pursuant to
2. Plaintiff is the owner of the	following described property:
plaintiff on or about the day of	perty was stolen or otherwise misappropriated from
	erty is currently in the possession of defendant and is ion 539.001, Florida Statutes, the address of which is
	th the procedural requirements of section 539.001, notified the pawnbroker of plaintiff's claim to the
by certified mail, return rece in person evidenced by a sig	1 1
The notice contains a complete a	and accurate description of the purchased or pledged

goods and was accompanied by a legible copy of the aforementioned police report regarding the theft or misappropriation of the property. No resolution between plaintiff and defendant pawnbroker could be reached within 10 days after the delivery of the notice.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff demands judgment for the return of the property. Plaintiff further asks this court to award plaintiff the costs of this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees.

Plaintiff (signature)	
Name	
Address	
City, State, Zip code	
Day telephone number	
State of Florida County of	
	dged before me on(date), byed as identification and who
WITNESS my hand and official seal, on	(date)
	Notary Public
	State of Florida

Note to Clerk of Court and to Sheriff: Pursuant to Section 539.001(15), filing fees and service fees shall be waived. Waiver does not require the filing of an affidavit of insolvency.

FORM 7.342. EX PARTE MOTION AND ORDER FOR HEARING IN AID OF EXECUTION

(CAPTION)

EX PARTE MOTION FOR HEARING IN AID OF EXECUTION

The judgment creditor,, pursuant to Florida Small Claims Rule 7.221, moves for an order requiring the judgment debtor(s),, to appear at a hearing in aid of execution for the purpose of examining the judgment debtor(s) regarding his/her/their ability to satisfy the final judgment entered in this cause and requiring the judgment debtor(s) to complete a FACT <u>INFORMATION</u> SHEET and bring it to the hearing in aid of execution.
Judgment Creditor
ORDER FOR HEARING IN AID OF EXECUTION
IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the judgment debtor(s),, Address:, shall:
1. appear before Judge on(date), at o'clockm., in Courtroom, located at:, Florida, to be examined as to the judgment debtor('s)(s') ability to satisfy the final judgment entered in this cause; and
2. bring to the hearing all documents and papers that relate to the judgment debtor('s)(s') financial condition and the completed, notarized fact <u>information</u> sheet attached hereto.
Judgment debtor('s)(s') failure to comply with this order shall be grounds for contempt.
ORDERED at, Florida, on(date)
County Court Judge

[Editor's note: Changes to this form are already pending in *In re: Amendments to: Florida Rules of Civil Procedure; Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure; Florida Probate Rules; Florida Small Claims Rules; Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure; Florida Family Rules* (Petition of the Committee on Access to Court Records), Case No. SC08-2443. The changes proposed by the Small Claims Rules Committee in that case are shown here in <u>single</u> strikethroughs and underlines, while the changes proposed by the Committee in this 3-year-cycle report are shown in <u>double</u> strikethroughs and underlines.

The striking through of this sentence — "MAIL OR DELIVER THIS FORM TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT, AND" — is proposed in both SC08-2443 AND the 3-year-cycle report.]

FORM 7.343. FACT INFORMATION SHEET

(a) For Individuals

(CAPTION)

FACT INFORMATION SHEET — INDIVIDUAL

Full Legal Name:		
Nicknames or Aliases:		
Residence Address:		
Mailing Address (if different):		
Telephone Numbers: (Home)	(Business)	
Name of Employer:		
Position or Job Description:		
Rate of Pay: \$ per	Average Paycheck: \$ per	
Average Commissions or Bonuses: \$	per Commissions or bonuses are based of	on
Other Personal Income: \$ f		
(Explain details on the back of this she	et or an additional sheet if necessary.)	
Social Security Number:	Birthdate:	
Driver's License Number:		
	Name:	
Spouse's Address (if different):		
Spouse's Social Security Number:	Birthdate:	
Spouse's Employer:		
Spouse's Average Paycheck or Income	: \$ per	
	(Explain details on back of this sheet	
Names and Ages of All Your Children	(and addresses if not living with you):	
Child Support or Alimony Paid: \$	per	
Names of Others You Live With:		
Who is Head of Your Household?	You Spouse Other Person	
Checking Account at:	Account #_	

Savings Acco	unt at:		Account #	
				ncluding stocks, mutual funds, ional sheet if necessary.)
For Real Estat	te (land) You	Own or Are Buyir	ng:	
Address:				
All Names on	Title:			
Mortgage Ow	ed to:			
Balance Owed	d:			
Monthly Payn	nent: \$			
this sheet or		al sheet if necessar	•	on of the property on the back of same information on any other
		ou Own or Are Buy		
Year/Make/M	lodel:		Colo	r: age:
Vehicle ID #:		Tag No:	Mile	age:
				ne: \$
Loan Owed to):			
Balance on Lo	oan: \$		(T.) - 41 - 41 - 11 - 12	
vehicles, su		motorcycles, bicy		ntomobiles, as well as other n the back of this sheet or an
Have you give to any perso	en, sold, loar on in the last	ned, or transferred a year? If your answe		property worth more than \$100 the property and sale price, and erty.
Doog envena	owa vou mor	nav? Amount Owac	1. ¢	
Please attach	copies of the	following:		
	Your last pa Your last 3	•	ach bank, savings,	credit union, or other financial
	Your motor	vehicle registration	ns and titles	
d.4. leases to prop	Any deeds	or titles to any rea		erty you own or are buying, or
		PERJURY, I SW AND COMPLETE.	VEAR OR AFFIRI	M THAT THE FOREGOING
				Judgment Debtor

Loans Outstanding:
Does the Business Entity own any real property: YESNO
If Yes: Address:
Please check if the business entity owns the following:
BoatCamper
Stocks/BondsOther Real Property
Other Personal PropertyIntangible Property
Please attach copies of the following:
1. All tax returns for the past 3 years, including but not limited to state and federal income tax returns and tangible personal property tax returns.
2. All bank, savings and loan, and other account books or statements for accounts in institutions in which the defendant had any legal or equitable interest for the past 3 years.
3. All canceled checks for the 12 months immediately preceding the date of this judgment for accounts in which the defendant held any legal or equitable interest.
4. All deeds, leases, mortgages, or other written instruments evidencing any interest in o ownership of real property at any time within the 12 months immediately preceding the date out this judgment.
5. Bills of sale or other written evidence of the gift, sale, purchase, or other transfer of any personal or real property to or from the defendant within the 12 months immediately preceding the date of filing this lawsuit. Any transfer of property within the last year other than ordinary course of business transactions.
6. Motor vehicle documents, including titles and registrations relating to any motor vehicles owned by the defendant alone or with others.
7. Financial statements and any other business records, including but not limited to account
payable and accounts receivable ledgers, as to the defendant's assets and liabilities prepared
within the 12 months immediately preceding the date of this judgment.
within the 12 months miniculately preceding the date of this judgment.
8. Copies of articles, by-laws, partnership agreement, operating agreement, and any other
governing documents, and minutes of all meetings of the defendant's shareholders, board or
directors, or members held within 2 years of the date of this judgment.
9. Resolutions of the shareholders, board of directors, or members passed within 2 years o
the date of this judgment.
10. A list or schedule of all inventory and equipment.
UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, I SWEAR OR AFFIRM THAT THE FOREGOING

ANSWERS ARE TRUE AND COMPLETE.

	Defendant's Designated Representative
	Title:
STATE OF FLORIDA	
COUNTY OF	
	edged before me on(date), by,
as the defendant's duly authorized represent produced	

MAIL OR DELIVER THIS FORM TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT, AND MAIL OR DELIVER A COPY OF THE COMPLETED FORM TO THE JUDGMENT CREDITOR OR THE <u>JUDGMENT</u> CREDITOR'S ATTORNEY. <u>DO NOT FILE THIS FORM WITH THE COURT.</u>

APPENDIX C

Proposed Changes to Rules in Two-Column Format

Proposed changes:

RULE 7.050. COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION; STATEMENT OF CLAIM

(a) Commencement.

(1) **Statement of Claim.** Actions are commenced by the filing of a statement of claim in concise form, which shall inform the defendant of the basis and the amount of the claim. If the claim is based on a written document, a copy or the material part thereof shall be attached to the statement of claim. All documents served upon the defendant with initial process shall be filed with the court.

(2) Party Not Represented by Attorney to

Sign. A party, individual, or corporation who or which has no attorney handling such cause shall sign that party's statement of claim or other paper and state that party's address and telephone number, including area code. However, if the trial court in its discretion determines that the plaintiff is engaged in the business of collecting claims and holds such claim being sued upon by purchase, assignment, or management arrangement in the operation of such business, the court may require that corporation to provide counsel in the prosecution of the cause. A corporation may be represented at any stage of the trial court proceedings by an officer of the corporation or any employee authorized in writing by an officer of the corporation.

- (b) [NO CHANGE]
- (c) [NO CHANGE]

Reasons for change:

This sentence is added to ensure that the courts have access to all documents served with initial process.

(d) [NO CHANGE]	
(e) [NO CHANGE]	
Committee Notes	
2008 Amendment. A sentence is added to subdivision (a)(1) to ensure that the courts have access to all documents served with initial process.	For clarification of reason for change.

Proposed changes:

RULE 7.090. APPEARANCE; DEFENSIVE PLEADINGS; TRIAL DATE

- (a) Appearance. On the date and time appointed in the notice to appear, the plaintiff and defendant shall appear personally or by counsel before a judge.
- **(b) Notice to Appear; Pretrial Conference.** The summons/notice to appear shall specify that the initial appearance shall be for a pretrial conference. The initial pretrial conference shall be set by the clerk not more than 50 days from the date of the filing of the action. At the pretrial conference, all of the following matters shall be considered by a judge:
 - (1) The simplification of issues.
- (2) The necessity or desirability of amendments to the pleadings.
- (3) The possibility of obtaining admissions of fact and of documents that avoid unnecessary proof.
- (4) The limitations on the number of witnesses.
 - (5) The possibilities of settlement.
- (6) Such other matters as the court in its discretion deems necessary.

Reasons for change:

To add uniformity to pretrial conference procedure statewide by clarifying the judiciary's role in the small claims process and to bring the rule into alignment with form 7.322.

To add uniformity to pretrial conference procedure statewide by clarifying the judiciary's role in the small claims process and to bring the rule into alignment with form 7.322.

Form 7.322 shall and form 7.323 may be used in conjunction with this rule.

- (c) [NO CHANGE]
- (d) [NO CHANGE]
- (e) [NO CHANGE]
- claims actions, an attorney may appear on behalf of a party at mediation if the attorney has full authority to settle without further consultation. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a nonlawyer representative may appear on behalf of a party to a small claims mediation if the representative has the party's signed written authority to appear and has full authority to settle without further consultation. In either event, the party need not appear in person. Mediation may take place at the pretrial conference. Whoever appears for a party must have full authority to settle. Failure to comply with this subdivision may result in the imposition of costs and attorneys' fees incurred by the opposing party.

(g) [NO CHANGE]

Court Commentary

2008 Amendment. The requirement that an attorney attending mediation on behalf of the client have full authority to settle should not be equated to a requirement to settle where one or more parties wants to proceed to trial.

To correct style and grammar errors in the adoption of changes in *In re: Amendments to Florida Small Claims Rule 1.090*, 985 So. 2d 1083 (Fla. 2008).

To correct an error in the adoption of changes in *In re: Amendments to Florida Small Claims Rule 1.090*, 985 So. 2d

1083 (Fla	2008).

APPENDIX D

Background Documents (relevant legislation)

Section 117.05(13)(c), Florida Statutes (2009):

change; advertising; photo	·	se; nota	ry ree;	sear; duties; er	mpioyer ilabii	ity; name
	*	*	*			
(13) The following notarial information required by t preclude the use of other for	his chapter. The spe		•	•	•	
	*	*	*			
(c) For an acknowledgment	in a representative o	apacity:				
STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF						
The foregoing instrument wof person) as (type of authority, executed).						
				(Signature of I	Notary Public - Sta	te of Florida)
			(Print, Ty	pe, or Stamp Commi	ssioned Name of N	otary Public)
Personally Known	OR Produced Ident	ification	l			
Type of Identification Produ	ıced					

APPENDIX E

Comments Received after Publication of Proposed Changes

From: Murphy, Vince

Sent: Friday, July 17, 2009 11:41 AM To: Judge Lee/17THCircuit@jud17 Subject: Proposed Small Claims Rules

I'm your colleague in Collier County (Naples), and I'm availing myself of the opportunity to comment on the proposed change to Rule 7.090.

Our procedure at pretrials is to have the clerk call the cases (usually 70 or so every Friday), and then ask the litigants to participate in a mediation. If mediation is successful (we enjoy a 90% success rate), the agreement is drawn and signed, and the case is concluded. If mediation is declined or unsuccessful, the case is set for trial. While that is going on, we (the judges) are attending to other court functions. For example, this morning I was presiding over misdemeanor jail arraignments at the same time that the small claims pretrials were being called in the courtroom.

With daunting budget issues casting a very long shadow over our court operations, I am concerned that we are going to find ourselves stretched so thin that we are unable to deal with our dockets effectively. For example, in Collier County the loss of our traffic court hearing officers now means that two judges devote every single Tuesday to traffic court, all day. This small claims rule change will force us to surrender yet another day, and for a largely ministerial rather than an adjudicatory process. I would prefer to leave to each county the flexibility to conduct pretrials as they find most efficient and appropriate, rather than impose a rigid protocol statewide.

There are certainly some advantages for judge-driven pretrials, and those jurisdictions which follow that procedure have no doubt so concluded. I simply believe that there is no reason to force <u>every</u> jurisdiction to adopt that procedure if our circumstances would suggest a more efficient process.

One of the stated justifications for the proposed change is, "...to bring the rule into alignment with form 7.322." To me, this is the tail wagging the dog. If there is no substantive reason for the change, we can just as easily bring the form into alignment with the rule.

Thank you for your efforts with the Committee and your service to Florida , and thank you for considering my comments.

Vince Murphy

vmurphy@ca.cjis20.org
Collier County Judge
Collier County Courthouse
3301 East Tamiami Trail
Naples , FL 34112
This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept by Symantec Mail Security for the presence of computer viruses.

www.symantec.com

To: "'jlee@17th.flcourts.org'" <jlee@17th.flcourts.org> From: "Turner, Angela" <ATurner@CA.CJIS20.ORG>

Date: 07/23/2009 02:00PM

Subject: FW: Proposed Small Claims Rules

As another colleague in Naples, Judge [Eugene] Turner has asked me to advise he agrees with Judge Murphy.

Thank you.

Angela Turner, Judicial Assistant

To: "'jlee@17th.flcourts.org'" <jlee@17th.flcourts.org>From: "Martin, Janeice" <JMartin@CA.CJIS20.ORG>

Date: 07/17/2009 01:15PM

Subject: FW: Proposed Small Claims Rules

Judge Lee-

I appreciate your assistance with this matter, and would like to echo Judge Murphy's arguments on the issue.

I believe he has thoroughly and concisely set for the case for "local rule" on the handling of pretrial conferences.

Thank you for your time.

Janeice T. Martin County Court Judge Collier County From:

"Paul Alessandroni"

To:

Date:

Monday, July 27, 2009 03:01PM

Subject:

Small Claims Rule Change

History:

Dear Judge Lee,

Let me voice my strong opposition to the proposed rule change regarding an expanded role for judges at the small claims pretrial conference.

Throughout our judicial system in Florida and in our nation we are expanding our caseload capacity by employing case management techniques that include procedures that Charlotte County follows with small claims pretrials. A video recorded by a county judge and providing important information regarding procedures such as mediation and the process of setting trials in disputed cases is shown to the litigants at the beginning of pretrials. The cases are called by a case manager who screens them individually and refers them either to immediate free mediation or to the clerk to be set for trial. The vast majority of cases are resolved there, but if not, the litigants are brought back to court to appear either before a judge who inquires into factors relevant to setting the trial (number of witnesses, time required, etc.) or before a clerk who acts as a case manager in asking many of the same questions.

My personal experience and that of my colleague (with whom I share 50% of the county civil docket) is that this procedure works well and permits the judge to address other matters during our very busy days. Very few cases are set for trial because mediation resolves them to the satisfaction of the parties. When cases are set for trial they go more smoothly and within the reserved time because of the case management techniques employed. The matters set forth in current Rule 7.090 are substantially addressed by in the case management process.

Perhaps I can better understand the requirement of a personal appearance before the court if mediation were not available, but I see no benefit, absolutely no benefit, to mandating that a judge perform that which is essentially a case management function currently being professionally handled by trained court personnel. I have extensive experience in case management systems, including family law, civil (including small claims), and now beginning with criminal. In my view the proposed rule change is a huge step backwards in our evolution to a more efficient court system that can handle ever increasing numbers of cases with, in many cases, significantly dwindling judge resources. Please do not allow this rule to be adopted by the Supreme Court. If there is any chance that this could happen, please advertise this fact to the county judges well in advance so that we can marshal the resources to help kill it.

 $http://inotes.17th.flcourts.org/mail/jlee.nsf/(\$Inbox)/514C42318D07B2BF8525760000688... \ \ 7/27/2009 + 1/27/2000 + 1/27/2000 + 1/27/2000 + 1/27/2000 + 1/27/2000 + 1/27/2000 + 1/27/2000 + 1/27/2000 + 1/27/200$

Thanks for taking the time to read this.
Paul Alessandroni
County Judge
Charlotte County, Florida
941-505-4826
Attention: The information contained in this E-mail message may be privileged and confidential under Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.051 (2002) information intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be punishable as contempt. If you have received this communication in error, please contact the sender by reply E-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.

Under Florida Law, email addresses are public records. If you do not
want your email address released in response to a public-records
request, do not send electronic email to this entity. Instead, contact
this office by phone or in writing F.S. 668.606
This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and
intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they
are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify
the system manager.
http://inotes.17th.flcourts.org/mail/jlee.nsf/(\$Inbox)/514C42318D07B2BF8525760000688 7/27/2009



The Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida

501 1st Avenue North, Suite 1000 St. Petersburg, FL 33701 (727) 582-7424 Fax: (727) 582-7438

J. Thomas McGrady Chief Judge B. Elaine New Court Counsel

August 12, 2009

Judge Robert W. Lee Chair, Small Claims Rules Committee Broward County Courthouse 201 SE 6th Street, Suite 331 Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301-3372

Dear Judge Lee:

We are writing to raise objections to the proposed amendment to Small Claims Rule 7.090 because of the negative impact that it will have on small claims hearings and the court system as a whole. The proposed rule change would eliminate the use of a small claims pretrial hearing officer for pretrial conferences. Instead, a county judge would have to fill this void, creating considerable strain on a court system that is already stretched thin. To best serve the citizens of the state of Florida, the proposed amendment to Small Claims Rule 7.090 should not be adopted.

The Florida Supreme Court has already considered this proposal once and rejected it. See In re The Florida Bar Small Claims Rules 537 So. 2d 81 (Fla. 1988). The Small Claims Rules Committee should not advance this amendment and we anticipate that if they do the Court will reject it again. Small claims pretrial hearing officers perform an invaluable service to the efficient and effective functioning of the court system. A hearing officer greets the parties, manages on site mediation, and if a need for a judge arises immediately places the parties in front of a judge. The hearing officer does not have the authority to decide disputed questions of law or fact, rather the hearing officer functions as a case manager to facilitate resolution of the cases. This practice allows the parties involved in a small claims case to have their matter resolved in a manner that is just, equitable, and efficient.

Furthermore, it is also efficient for county court judges to have hearing officers in small claims court. In Pinellas County, 5,521 small claims cases were filed from January 1, 2009 until June 30, 2009. Of those that were not defaults, dismissals, etc., 1139 were mediated. Of the 1139 mediated only 154 were set for trial. If all 5,521 cases had to be in front of a judge before they were dismissed, classified as a default, sent to mediation, or set for trial the burden on the court system and its judges would be significant. Mediation allows parties to settle disputes at

Judge Robert W. Lee August 12, 2009 Page Two

their own pace and on their terms and this is what results in so few cases going to trial. In a time when efficient and effective case management is more important than ever we can hardly bare the consequences of what the adoption of the proposed amendment to SCR 7.090 would bring.

Currently, small claims pretrial hearing officers serve an important function that operates within the bounds of the small claims rules. However, because the proposed amendment to the rule would eliminate the use of hearing officers for pretrial conferences we would like to offer an alternative. See Attachment A. This change requires every county to have a judge available to handle small claims matters if they are needed, without eliminating the use of small claims hearing officers. It is a compromise that allays everyone's concerns. No party will have to reschedule simply to have a motion heard and all parties will have their cases resolved under the letter of the law in a more efficient and timely manner.

The amendment to small claims rule 7.090 that has been proposed by the Small Claims Rules Committee, if adopted, will place a significant burden on the court system. However, the rule that we have proposed will allow small claims cases to be carefully decided under the law while still allowing them to be resolved in an efficient and effective manner.

Sincerety

J. Thomas McGrady

Chief Judge, Sixth Judicial Circuit

Henry J. Andringa

County Administrative Judge, Pinellas County

Attachment

ATTACHMENT A

Rule 7.090. APPEARANCE; DEFENSIVE PLEADINGS; TRIAL DATE

- (b) Notice to appear; Pretrial Conference. The summons/notice to appear shall specify that the initial appearance shall be for a pretrial conference. The initial pretrial conference shall be set by the clerk not more than 50 days from the date of the filing of the action. The pretrial conference may be conducted by a non-judicial officer employed by or under contract with the court; however, a Judge shall be available to hear any motions or resolve any legal issues. At the pretrial conference, all of the following matters shall be considered:
 - (1) The simplification of issues.
 - (2) The necessity or desirability of amendments to the pleadings.
 - (3) The possibility of obtaining admissions of fact and of documents that avoid unnecessary proof.
 - (4) The limitations on the number of witnesses.
 - (5) The possibilities of settlement.
 - (6) Such other matters as the court in its discretion deems necessary.

Form 7.322 shall and Form 7.323 may be used in conjunction with this rule.

APPENDIX F

Copies of the Published Florida Bar News Notice

July 15, 2009

Amendments to the Florida Small Claims Rules

The Small Claims Rules Committee invites comment on the proposed three-year-cycle amendments to the Florida Small Claims Rules. The full text of the proposals can be found at the Bar's web site at www.floridabar.org. The proposed amendments will be filed with the court by February 1, 2010. Interested persons have until August 15, 2009, to submit comments electronically to Judge Robert W. Lee, Chair, Small Claims Rules Committee, jlee@17th.flcourts.org.

RULE	COMMITTEE VOTE	REASONS FOR CHANGE
7.050(a)	11-5	A sentence is added to subdivision (a)(1) to ensure that the courts have access to all documents served with initial process.
7.090	(a)–(b): 10-0 (f) and Court Commentary: 18-0	(a)–(b): to add uniformity to pretrial conference procedure statewide by clarifying the judiciary's role in the small claims process and to bring the rule into alignment with form 7.322. (f) and Court Commentary: to correct style and grammar errors in the adoption of changes in <i>In re: Amendments to Florida Small Claims Rule 1.090</i> , 985 So.2d 1083 (Fla. June 19, 2008).
7.335	20-0	The word "/pawnbroker" is added after "defendant" in the first line of the statement of claim, to be consistent with the caption and the intent of the initial adoption of this form. Also, a punctuation error in the caption is corrected.
7.342	22-0	The word "information" is inserted between "fact sheet" in two places, to clarify for the pro se litigant what sheet the pro se litigant must complete.

7.343	21-0	Instruction to mail or deliver the form to the clerk is deleted because the optional enforcement paragraph in form 7.340, which refers to form 7.343, instructs the defendant to return the sheet to the plaintiff's attorney (or plaintiff if unrepresented), not the court clerk. The notary block in (b) is not the proper one for a business entity. The proposed change follows the
		statute (<i>F.S.</i> 117.05(13)(c)). A few other changes are made to conform form (a) and (b) to each other.

APPENDIX G

Certification that Proposed Rules Have Been Read Against West's FLORIDA RULES OF COURT

I certify that these rules and forms were read against West's FLORIDA RULES OF COURT – STATE (2009).

Madelon Horwich
Bar Staff Liaison, Small Claims Rules Committee
The Florida Bar
651 E. Jefferson St.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2300
(850) 561-5707
FLORIDA BAR #316512