

Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC10-2146

ERIC EDENFIELD,
Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Respondent.

[March 15, 2012]

PER CURIAM.

We initially accepted jurisdiction to review the decision of the First District Court of Appeal in Edenfield v. State, 45 So. 3d 26 (Fla. 1st DCA 2010), based on express and direct conflict. See art. V, § 3(b)(3), Fla. Const. Upon further consideration, we have determined that jurisdiction was improvidently granted. Accordingly, we hereby discharge jurisdiction and dismiss this review proceeding.

It is so ordered.

CANADY, C.J., and PARIENTE, LEWIS, QUINCE, POLSTON, LABARGA,
and PERRY, JJ., concur.

NO MOTION FOR REHEARING WILL BE ALLOWED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Direct
Conflict of Decisions

First District - Case No. 1D09-6554

(Duval County)

Susan Z. Cohen and David M. Robbins of Epstein and Robbins, Jacksonville,
Florida,

for Petitioner

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, Trisha Meggs Pate, Bureau Chief, Joshua
Ryan Heller, and Thomas D. Winokur, Assistant Attorneys General, Tallahassee,
Florida,

for Respondent

Sonya Rudenstine, Gainesville, Florida, Michael Robert Ufferman, Tallahassee,
Florida, and David Oscar Markus, Miami, Florida, on behalf of the Florida
Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, the National Association of Criminal
Defense Lawyers, and the American Civil Liberties Union of Florida,

as Amicus Curiae