IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

Case No. SC14-1730

Formal Advisory Opinion #2014-3 of The Florida Bar Standing Committee On the Unlicensed Practice of Law In Re: Scharrer v. Fundamental Administrative Svcs.

PROPERTY CASUALTY INSURERS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

FLORIDA INSURANCE COUNCIL

AMERICAN INSURANCE ASSOCIATION

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANIES

REPLY OF INTERESTED PARTIES

KELSEY APPELLATE LAW FIRM, P.A.

Susan L. Kelsey (FBN 772097) P.O. Box 15786 Tallahassee, FL 32317 Ph. (850) 681-3511 susanappeals@embargmail.com

COLODNY, FASS, TALENFELD, KARLINSKY ABATE & WEBB, P.A.

Maria Elena Abate (FBN 770418)
Nate Wesley Strickland (FBN 165859)
215 S. Monroe St., Ste. 701
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Ph. (850) 577-0398
mabate@cftlaw.com
wstrickland@cftlaw.com

Co-Counsel for these Interested Parties

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPLY ARGUMENT	
THE COURT'S OPINION SHOULD STATE EXPRESSLY THE FLORIDA BAR'S CONCESSION THAT THE ADVISORY OPINION DOES NOT APPLY TO INSURERS AND THEIR INSUREDS.	. 1
CONCLUSION	ŀ
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 5	;
CERTIFICATE OF TYPEFACE COMPLIANCE 7	7

REPLY OF THE INTERESTED PARTIES

THE COURT'S OPINION SHOULD STATE EXPRESSLY THE FLORIDA BAR'S CONCESSION THAT THE ADVISORY OPINION DOES NOT APPLY TO INSURERS AND THEIR INSUREDS.

The following four insurance industry trade organizations previously filed consolidated comments on the Proposed Advisory Opinion ("PAO") as interested parties: the Property Casualty Insurers Association of America (PCIAA), the Florida Insurance Council (FIC), the American Insurance Association (AIA), and the National Association Of Mutual Insurance Companies (NAMIC). These interested parties set forth detailed analysis of the existing statutory and regulatory structure authorizing the manner in which the insurance industry participates in litigated matters in Florida courts. [Insur. Comments at 7-17] These parties also argued that this Court should reject those portions of the PAO suggesting that the unlicensed practice of law might occur under facts not alleged in the underlying complaint (pages 9-10 and 13-16), because such speculation is not within the scope of these proceedings. [Insur. Comments at 19-22] Likewise, these parties urged the Court to reject those portions of the PAO that suggest a case-by-case analysis of the degree of management exercised by non-lawyers in cases where the relevant party is represented by a Florida-licensed lawyer. [Id. at 21-26] These interested parties reaffirm these arguments and urge the Court to reflect these changes in its opinion.

In addition, these interested parties urge the Court to incorporate expressly in its opinion the Florida Bar's concession that the advisory opinion does not apply to the insurance industry's relationships between insurer and insured. The Florida Bar expressly stated this concession as follows:

A. The proposed formal advisory opinion does not involve the unique relationship between an insurer and their insured.

Two of the briefs filed in opposition to the proposed advisory opinion are filed on behalf of groups involved in the insurance industry. These interested parties take exception to the proposed advisory opinion on the basis that it does not recognize or is contrary to the unique relationship between an insurer and their insured. The Standing Committee agrees that this relationship is unique, and therefore, is dealt with differently than other third party relationships in the Rules of Professional Conduct. The unique relationship is not discussed in the proposed advisory opinion because the request for a formal advisory opinion did not involve insurance or the relationship between an insurer and their insured was not before the Standing Committee, it was not addressed in the proposed advisory opinion. Consequently, the opinion should not be read to deal with or influence the relationship between an insurer and their insured.

[TFB A. Br. at 16-17] In light of the Florida Bar's concession, these interested parties ask the Court to expressly state in its opinion that the relationship between an insurer and its insured was not before the Standing Committee, was not addressed in the PAO, and that the opinion therefore should not be construed to involve the relationship between an insurer and its insured, including the involvement of administrators, agents, and adjusters authorized by an insurer to handle claims.

CONCLUSION

These interested parties urge the Court to state expressly in its opinion the Florida Bar's concession that the advisory opinion does not apply in the context of the insurance industry's relationships between insurer and insured, and this should include the involvement of administrators, agents, and adjusters authorized by an insurer to handle claims. Further, these interested parties urge the Court to approve that portion of the PAO recognizing that it does not constitute the unlicensed practice of law in Florida for a non-lawyer company or its in-house counsel not licensed in Florida, to manage, direct, and oversee Florida litigation on behalf of the company's third-party customers, when the management, direction, and oversight are directed to a member of The Florida Bar who is appropriately representing the customer in litigation. Finally, these interested parties urge the Court to reject the balance of the PAO, particularly those portions of the PAO suggesting that the unlicensed practice of law might occur under facts not alleged in the underlying complaint (pages 9-10 and 13-16).

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of November, 2014.

KELSEY APPELLATE LAW FIRM, P.A.

Susan L. Kelsey (FBN 772097) P.O. Box 15786 Tallahassee, FL 32317 Ph. (850) 681-3511 susanappeals@embargmail.com

COLODNY, FASS, TALENFELD, KARLINSKY ABATE & WEBB, P.A.

Maria Elena Abate (FBN 770418) Nate Wesley Strickland (FBN 165859) 215 S. Monroe St., Ste. 701 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ph. (850) 577-0398 mabate@cftlaw.com wstrickland@cftlaw.com

Co-Counsel for these Interested Parties

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to the following through the Florida Courts E-Portal, this 3rd day of November, 2014.

/s/ Susan L. Kelsey Attorney

Attorneys for Fundamental Administrative Services, LLC: Katherine E. Giddings, B.C.S.
Kristen M. Fiore
katherine.giddings@akerman.com
kristen.fiore@akerman.com
elisa.miller@akerman.com
michele.rowe@akerman.com
Akerman LLP
106 East College Avenue, Suite 1200
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Joseph A. Corsmeier jcorsmeier@jac-law.com Law Office of Joseph A. Corsmeier PA 2454 N. McMullen Booth Road Suite 431 Clearwater, FL 33759-1339

Gerald B. Cope, Jr.
gerald.cope@akerman.com
vanessa.berman@akerman.com
Akerman LLP
One SE Third Avenue, Suite 2500
Miami, Florida 33131-1714

Christopher B. Hopkins christopher.hopkins@akerman.com barbara.thomas@akerman.com Akerman LLP 777 S. Flagler Dr., 11th Floor, West Tower West Palm Beach, FL 33401

Attorney for Christine Zack: Peter A. Contreras pac@brunnerlaw.com Brunner Quinn 35 N. Fourth Street, Suite 200 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3641

Petitioner Seeking the PAO: Steven M. Berman Shumaker, Loop & Kendrick, LLP 101 East Kennedy Blvd., Suite 2800 Tampa, Florida 33602 sberman@slk-law.com

C.C. Abbott
Chair, Standing Committee – Unlicensed Practice of Law
Florida Rural Legal Services, Inc.
3210 Cleveland Avenue
Ft. Myers, FL 33901

colin.abbott@frls.org

UPL Committee Staff Contact: Lori Holcomb The Florida Bar 651 E. Jefferson Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-2300 lholcomb@flabar.org

Interested Party, Florida Bar Member: Timothy P. Chinaris Florida Bar No. 0564052 Post Office Box 120186 Nashville, Tennessee 37212-0186 tchinaris@gmail.com

Interested Party, The Doctors Group: M. Stephen Turner sturner@broadandcassel.com
Broad and Cassel
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee FL 32301

CERTIFICATE OF TYPEFACE COMPLIANCE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that these Comments were prepared using Times New Roman 14 point type, a font that is proportionately spaced and in compliance with Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.210.

/s/ Susan L. Kelsey Attorney