

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

CHARLES LEE, :

Petitioner, :

vs. : Case No. SC14-416

STATE OF FLORIDA, :

Respondent. :

---

ON DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE  
SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

REPLY BRIEF OF PETITIONER

HOWARD L. "REX" DIMMIG, II  
PUBLIC DEFENDER  
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

MATTHEW D. BERNSTEIN  
Assistant Public Defender  
FLORIDA BAR NUMBER 0043302

Public Defender's Office  
Polk County Courthouse  
P.O. Box 9000--Drawer PD  
Bartow, FL 33831  
(863) 534-4200

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER

RECEIVED, 06/14/2017 03:13:26 PM, Clerk, Supreme Court

TOPICAL INDEX TO BRIEF

PAGE NO.

ARGUMENT ..... 1

ISSUE I  
        PETITIONER IS ENTITLED TO BE RESENTENCED  
        PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 2014-  
        220, LAWS OF FLORIDA. .... 1

ISSUE II  
        THE TRIAL COURT MUST CONSIDER AN UPDATED  
        PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT. .... 2

ISSUE III  
        THE 25-YEAR MINIMUM MANDATORY TERM VIOLATES  
        THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT. .... 3

ISSUE IV  
        THE TRIAL COURT ERRONEOUSLY IMPOSED THE 25-  
        YEAR MINIMUM MANDATORY TERM BECAUSE THE  
        INFORMATION DOES NOT ALLEGE GREAT BODILY  
        HARM. .... 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ..... 5

TABLE OF CITATIONS

	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
<u>Johnson v. State,</u> 42 Fla. L. Weekly S470 (Fla. Apr. 20, 2017)	1
<u>Kelsey v. State,</u> 206 So. 3d 5 (Fla. 2016)	1
<u>Martinez v. State,</u> 211 So. 3d 989 (Fla. 2017)	4
<u>Robinson v. State,</u> 42 Fla. L. Weekly D758 (Fla. 1st DCA Apr. 4, 2017)	4

ARGUMENT

ISSUE I

PETITIONER IS ENTITLED TO BE RESENTENCED  
PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 2014-  
220, LAWS OF FLORIDA.

In its brief, the State argues that “[t]he aims expressed in Kelsey, entitlement to review after the passage of time to consider the question of a defendant’s ‘maturation and rehabilitation,’ can be accomplished without a de novo sentencing hearing.” (Respondent’s Br. at 11-12.)

However, in Kelsey, this Court unequivocally “determine[d] that resentencing is the appropriate remedy.” Kelsey v. State, 206 So. 3d 5, 11 (Fla. 2016). See also Johnson v. State, 42 Fla. L. Weekly S470 (Fla. Apr. 20, 2017) (citing Kelsey and noting that “resentencing pursuant to the new juvenile sentencing guidelines” remains the appropriate remedy).

This case remains indistinguishable from Kelsey, and, like Kelsey, Mr. Lee is entitled to be resentenced pursuant to the provisions of chapter 2014-220, Laws of Florida. Petitioner relies on the initial brief in response to Respondent’s remaining arguments.

ISSUE II

THE TRIAL COURT MUST CONSIDER AN UPDATED  
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT.

Petitioner relies on the initial brief in response to  
Respondent's arguments.

ISSUE III

THE 25-YEAR MINIMUM MANDATORY TERM VIOLATES  
THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT.

Petitioner relies on the initial brief in response to  
Respondent's arguments.

ISSUE IV

THE TRIAL COURT ERRONEOUSLY IMPOSED THE 25-  
YEAR MINIMUM MANDATORY TERM BECAUSE THE  
INFORMATION DOES NOT ALLEGE GREAT BODILY  
HARM.

The State maintains that Petitioner "argues that the evidence presented at trial did not support imposition of a twenty-five-year minimum mandatory sentence." (Respondent's Br. at 24.)

This issue in this case, however, is that the information fails to allege that Mr. Lee caused great bodily harm; the issue is not related to the sufficiency of the evidence presented. Further, because the information does not allege great bodily harm, the 25-year minimum mandatory term remains unlawful. (Petitioner notes that this Court recently addressed defects in charging documents in Martinez v. State, 211 So. 3d 989 (Fla. 2017). See also Robinson v. State, 42 Fla. L. Weekly D758 (Fla. 1st DCA Apr. 4, 2017). However, unlike this case, Martinez and Robinson address whether a sentence is subject to correction under Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.800(a). These cases, therefore, remain inapposite.)

Petitioner relies on the initial brief in response to Respondent's remaining arguments.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy has been served via the portal to CERESE TAYLOR, A.A.G., at Cerese.Taylor@myfloridalegal.com and CrimappTPA@myfloridalegal.com, on this 14th day of June, 2017.

CERTIFICATION OF FONT SIZE

I hereby certify that this document was generated by computer using Microsoft Word with Courier New 12-point font in compliance with Fla. R. App. P. 9.210 (a)(2).

Respectfully submitted,

HOWARD L. "REX" DIMMIG, II  
Public Defender  
Tenth Judicial Circuit  
(863) 534-4200

/s/ Matthew D. Bernstein  
MATTHEW D. BERNSTEIN  
Assistant Public Defender  
Florida Bar Number 0043302  
P.O. Box 9000 - Drawer PD  
Bartow, FL 33831  
appealfilings@pd10.org  
mbernstein@pd10.org  
cclark@pd10.org

mdb