

IN THE SUPREME COURT, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF FLORIDA

WILLIE SMITH

-VS-

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

FLORIDA DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Comes now Petitioner, Willie Smith Pro-se hereby move the Court to enter A Writ of Habeas Corpus in the above styled Cause Pursuant to Fl. Rules of App. P. Rule 9.030 (c) (3) and in support Petitioner states the following:

HABEAS CORPUS IS A COMMON LAW REMEDY DESIGNED TO AFFORD A PROMPT JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OF THE LEGALITY OF A DETENTION OR RESTRAINT UNDER WHICH A PERSON IS HELD - See Sellia vs. Wainwright, 487 So2d 1156 (Fl. 2d D.C.A. 1986) and Thomas vs. Dugger, 548 So2d 1156 (Fl. 1989)

Petitioner is unlawfully detained and held because the Fl. Dept. of Corrections has instituted its own version of stop turning out prisoners early and its own interpretation of the 85% sentencing statute - that affords the F.D.O.C. to compute 2 T.R.D. Dates for the 85% and then to deduct the 30 days A month gain time from the 2 T.R.D. DATE of a 100% Prison Sentence, thereby imposing A mandatory Prison Sentence of A 100% Sentence on All inmates instead of the 85% that is afforded for by statute to have released Petitioner 2 months ago.

Based on the Majority's decision in this case every decision in which a district court has construed and applied A Criminal Statute is now "NON FINAL" until the statute is ultimately Clarified by this Court. - See State vs. K-Yanaw 835 So2d 248 (Fl. 2002) at Dissent of Wells - at 255.

JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction is invoked Pursuant to Art V, Section 3 (B) (9) Florida Constitution

FILED  
JOHN A. TOMASINO  
NOV 30 2015

CLERK, SUPREME COURT  
BY

This Contention is made because in 2001 Petitioner was sentenced to 15 Years in Prison for Dealing in Stolen Property to Run Consecutive with 5 Years for uttering a forged instrument.

The overall sentence was 20 Years with Jail Time Credit Time served, and when Petitioner was received by R.M.C. - The Classification Dept. Calculated A T.R.D. Date of 05-17-2017.

This date was the 85% of the 100% Prison Sentence that Petitioner was to have served in Prison - That was minus the 15% AS was reflected in and Afforded by Statute

Petitioner started receiving the 10 days A month Gain Time and the Dept. of Corrections gave Petitioner another T.R.D. Date of 2020 - AS if Petitioner was sentenced to A 20 Year mandatory Prison Sentence that Petitioner could receive Gain Time on and started deducting the 10 days A month from the 2020 100% Prison Sentence Date and not the 2017 85% Prison Sentence Date

The 2<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> years worth of 10 days A month Gain Time from the 100% Prison Sentence date is A 01-2017 date - and that means that the 2<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> years 10 days A month Gain Time that would be deducted from the 05-2017 85% Prison Sentence date would've been 05-2015 and this is 11-2015 - which mean that Petitioner would've been released from Prison over 5 or 6 months Ago

Petitioner Avers that the D.O.C. is Refusing to Answer the Grievance that has been on Appeal for this issue for over A Year

(1-A)

The Legislature repealed the 65% Sentencing Statute with the 85% "Stop Turning out Prisoner's Early" Sentencing Statute, And the Legislature Reduced the 20 Days a month Gain Time to 10 Days a month

The F.D.O.C. is not Applying the 85% Sentencing Statute as the 65% Sentencing Statute was Applied:

The F.D.O.C. is not Automatically Reducing the 100% Prison Sentence - That an Inmate Comes to Prison with That was imposed by the Court by 15% to have the Prisoner start their Prison Sentences out at 85% as is Required by Statute

The F.D.O.C. is Starting Prisoner's Prison Sentences out at 100% - Contrary to the Legislature's Intent in the Statute of 85%

The F.D.O.C. IS Requiring that Inmates Earn Gain Time to Reduce their Prison Sentences from 100% to the Statutory Requirement of 85%

The F.D.O.C. has went a Step Further and Removed - The work Gain Time - and Added a "STOP TURNING OUT PRISONER'S EARLY GAIN TIME" to the Gain Time sheet, and Do not APPLY - The work Gain Time That an Inmate Earns After The Inmate's Prison Sentence is at the Statutorially Required 85% - on the Gain Time Award Sheet.

F.D.O.C. IS then Placing Inmates on Conditional Release for the 15% Gain Time Earned, that are not Categories 1-2-3 or 4 - Contrary to the Conditional Release Statute's Requirement

## BASIS FOR PETITION

Petitioner Submitted a Formal Grievance at the Institutional Level Requesting that the Institution Advise Petitioner of what Statute Gave them the Authority to Apply the 85% as the F.D.O.C. is APPLYING it and not as the 65% Sentencing Statute was Applied

The Institution Denied Petitioner's Grievance and did not Address Petitioner's Claim and Challenge as to the F.D.O.C.'s Application of the 85% to Florida Prisoner's

Petitioner Appealed the Decision to Central office and Central Office also Denied the Grievance Appeal and did not Properly Address the Allegations that Petitioner Presented [See Attached Exhibits B]

The F.D.O.C.'s Interpretation and Application of the 85% Sentencing Statute is subjecting Prisoner's to the F.D.O.C.'s own Sentencing scheme and not the Sentencing scheme Reflected by the Legislature's 85% Prison Sentence Requirement - where The F.D.O.C. is Forcing Prisoner's to Do in Excess of a 100% Sentence in Prison - Contrary to the Legislature's Intent

The F.D.O.C. is Forcing Inmates to earn Gain-time to Place their Prison Sentence from 100% to 85% and STOP APPLYING an Inmate's Earned Gain time and Placing All categories after a 1-2-3-and-4 on Conditional Release without the Authority to do so. (3)

(1) The F.D.O.C. has taken it upon themselves to have a prisoner incarcerated for a 100% of their prison sentence to serve instead of 85% as is required by Florida Statute

(2) The F.D.O.C. is not applying Earned Gain Time to a prisoner's prison sentence, after the prisoner has earned the 15% Gain Time that is needed to reduce a prisoner's prison sentence from 100% to the 85% that is reflected by statute

(3) The F.D.O.C. is placing inmates on conditional release that are not in categories 1-2-3 or 4 under Rule 3.701 and 3.988 - Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure.

THE CONDITIONAL RELEASE PROGRAM ALT - Pursuant to FL STATUTES 947.1405 and 947.141 - Provides:  
ANY INMATE WHO IS CONVICTED OF A CRIME COMMITTED ON OR AFTER OCT. 1<sup>st</sup> 1988 AND BEFORE, JAN. 1<sup>st</sup> 1994, AND ANY INMATE WHO IS CONVICTED OF A CRIME COMMITTED ON OR AFTER JAN. 1<sup>st</sup> 1994, WHICH CRIME IS OR WAS CONTAINED IN CATEGORY, 1-2, 3 or 4 OF RULE 3.701 AND RULE 3.988, FLORIDA RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE (1993) AND HAS SERVED AT LEAST ONE PRIOR FELONY COMMITMENT AT A STATE OR FEDERAL INSTITUTION

Administrative agencies are Executive Branch entities. Their function in government is to "ADMINISTRATE" the Public Policy. The Public Policy on all subjects is created by the Legislature and is commonly called the Statutory Law. And the Florida Department of Corrections is an Administrative Agency.

Administrative Agencies are "Created" by the Legislature for the Purpose of Implementing Public Policy in a given field of concern. Agencies are thus creatures of Statute. See City of Cape Coral vs. C.A.C. Utilities Inc. 281 So2d 493 (Fl. 1973). By being created by Statute, their Powers and Authority does not extend beyond the powers and Authority provided by Statute.

It is well recognized that the powers of Administrative agencies are measured and limited by the Statutes or Acts in which such Powers are Expressly Granted or Implicity conferred - See Dept. of Professional Regulation, Board of Medicine vs. Marcelo, 536 So2d 1094 (Fl. 1<sup>st</sup> DCA 1988)

The Florida Constitution Prohibits an Administrative Agency from Imposing a Prison Sentence or Any other Penalty except as provided by Law, and any such Power must be delegated by Statute - See State Dept. of Environmental Regulation vs. Puckett Oil, 577 So2d 988 (Fl. 1<sup>st</sup> DCA 1991) Discussing Art 1 Sec. 18 of the Florida Constitution. See also Broward County vs. La Rosa, 484 So2d 1374 (Fl. 4<sup>th</sup> DCA 1986)

AN ENABLING STATUTE CONFERS JURISDICTION ON AN AGENCY TO CREATE RULES ON A GIVEN TOPIC. AN AGENCY CANNOT, ENLARGE, REDUCE, OR MODIFY ITS JURISDICTION BY ITS OWN ACTION - See Saddlebrooks Resort vs. Wireglass Ranch, 630 So2d 1123 (Fl. 2<sup>nd</sup> DCA, 1993) and Florida Statute 120.52 and Florida Statute 120.536.

AGENCIES ARE GIVEN THE AUTHORITY TO CREATE RULES BY THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE - BUT THAT AUTHORITY IS LIMITED TO IMPLEMENTING A FLORIDA STATUTE. THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH HAS NO

INHERENT POWER TO ADOPT RULES AT ALL. See  
Grove 251e. 2d-us- Dept of Environmental Regulation.  
454 So2d 571 (Fl. 1<sup>st</sup> D.C.A. 1984)

Petitioner Requested that the F.D.O.C. Demonstrate where their Authority and Jurisdiction was Acquired that would Permit the F.D.O.C. to not APPLY the 85% Sentencing Statute, as the 65% Sentencing Statute was APPLIED in lieu of the fact that the Legislature had stopped Turning out Prisoners early by Reducing the 20 Days a month Gain time to 10 Days a month and Enlarged the 65% Requirement to the Repealed laws of 85%

Petitioner Also Requested that the F.D.O.C. advise Petitioner as to what Statute Gave them the Authority and Jurisdiction, to not APPLY Earned Gain time as time Served until the Prisoner Expires the Prison Sentence.

Petitioner Also Requested the F.D.O.C. To Divulge what Gave them the Authority and Jurisdiction to Place whomever the F.D.O.C. wanted on Conditional Release - To Conditional Release

The F.D.O.C. Denied the Brevances and Refused to Divulge where their Jurisdiction was obtained. to make their own Rules for Releasing Prisoners.

RELIEF SOUGHT

Petitioner Seeks a writ of Habeas Corpus - FOR THE F.D.O.C.

to Divulge what gave them the Authority to make a Rule  
Pertaining to their own Application of the  
85% and not Applying the 85% as the 65%  
was Applied, and that is to Automatically  
Deduct the 15% of A 100% Prison Sentence  
and Allow the Prisoner Earn Gain Time un-  
til the Front Date and the Back end Da-  
te meet to EX PIRE the Prison Sentence

Petitioner Seeks a Writ of Habeas Corpus for the  
F.D.O.C. to Divulge what Statute Allows them  
to not APPLY an Inmates Earned Gain Time.  
See Mastay vs M'Donough 13 FL WKLY 1350<sup>(2)</sup> (Fl.  
1<sup>st</sup> DCA 2006) Stating: Work Gain Time is not Prop-  
erly Considered a Discretionary form of early Rele-  
ase and it is the Legislature that will Decide  
what and when Gain Time will not be Applied.

Petitioner Seeks a Writ of Habeas Corpus for the  
F.D.O.C. to Divulge what Statute Affords the F.D.O.C.  
to Place whomever it wants to on Condition-  
al Release - That is Contrary to the Require-  
ments of the Conditional Release Act

Therefore if the F.D.O.C. Do not Provide the Do-  
cumentation to Prove that the Legislature of Fl-  
orida gave them the Jurisdiction and Auth-  
ority to APPLY the 85% Differently from the  
Repealed 65% Statute - To Compel the F.D.  
O.C. to APPLY the 85% as such and enter A  
Writ of Habeas Corpus to Afford Petitioners Release  
From Prison and For D.O.L. To Stop the Practice of  
NOT APPLYING Gain Time and to Stop Placing  
anyone that they want to on Conditional Release



UNNOTARIZED OATH OF AFFIRMATION

UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY, I declare that I have read the foregoing, and that the facts stated therein are true and correct in accordance with Florida Statute, §92.525.

Willie Smith  
Smith, Willie, pro se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by First Class U.S. Mail to the below listed State Agencies/Offices via hand delivery to prison officials on

\_\_\_\_\_  
General Counsel - Fl. Dept. of Corrections 501 S. Cent-  
town Street, Tallahassee Fl. 32399  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Willie Smith  
Smith, Willie, pro se

A

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Greetings and Salutations. I am writing to you in regards to my Grievance that I submitted to you regarding my T.R.D and Gain Time Calculation where my T.R.D was 5-2017 and when you - The F.D.U.C. - You started deducting my 10 Day a month Gain Time Award - You Imposed A T.R.D Date of 2020 and started Deducting my 10 Days a month from the 2020 Date and not the 2017 85% T.R.D Date as is Required By Law And By Doing So - You Imposed A 100% mandatory Prison Sentence that Affords Gain Time. I would like to know why that Grievance have not been Answered as of now?

DATE-04-05-2015

Thank You  
Willie Smith  
040330

RECEIVED  
APR 13 2015  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
INMATE GRIEVANCES

STATE OF FLORIDA  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 14, 2015 A  
TO: I/M Smith, Willie  
DC#: 040330  
INSTITUTION: Mayo C.I. AS  
FROM: Bureau of Inmate Appeals  
RE: CORRESPONDENCE

- 1)  Your administrative appeal was received, reviewed and recently answered. You should soon receive a copy, if you haven't already.
- 2)  Your administrative appeal has been received in our office and is still under investigation. As soon as our investigation is complete, you will receive an answer.
- 3)  Your administrative appeal has not been received in this office.
- 4)  Departmental Rule 33-103.007, Appeal to the Secretary, states, "attachments are considered a part of the grievance and will not be returned to the Inmate, except in those cases where the Inmate submits sufficient copies of the attachments at the time the grievance appeal is filed". In reviewing your file, I find that you did not provide sufficient copies of the documents required.
- 5)  There is no provisions in the Inmate Grievance Procedure for you to appeal a decision already rendered by this office.
- 6)  The grievance procedure requires that you: 1) use proper forms when initiating a grievance, and 2) that you initiate your grievance at the appropriate level of the procedure as outlined in Chapter 33-103, subsections .005 informal grievance; .006 formal grievance—institution or facility; and .007 appeals to the Office of the Secretary. Your communication to this office is returned to you for reason 1 or 2 or both as applicable. You may re-initiate your complaint following proper procedures. You must be within the appropriate time frame for your appeal to be accepted.
- 7)  1) The grievance procedure or the appeals office is not to be used for correspondence purposes. 2) The act of acting questions or seeking information, guidance or assistance is not considered to be a grievance. 3) You cannot use the grievance procedure to complain about issues not under the control of the Department. Refer to Chapter 33-103.001.
- 8)  When re-submitting an appeal, you must write that appeal on a new/unused DC1-303 form, attach all pertinent forms and continuation sheets to include the formal grievance and file within the appropriate time frame.

**NOTE:** Appeal 15-6-06453 and appeal 15-6-06457 were logged in on 2/23/15. They have been forwarded to Sentence Structure for review and response. Upon completion of the review by Sentence Structure you will be provided responses.

S. Milliken  
Correctional Services Administrator

**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY OR APPEAL**

Third Party Grievance Alleging Sexual Abuse

TO:  Warden     Assistant Warden     Secretary, Florida Department of Corrections

From or IF Alleging Sexual Abuse, on the behalf of:

Smith, Willie A      040330      Columbia L.I Annex  
Last      First      Middle Initial      DC Number      Institution

*A*

Part A - Inmate Grievance

*un Authorized Gain Time Award*

*In violation of Fl Statute 120 The F.D.C.C has imputed A Gain Time Award - To wit. S.T.P. Gain Time that is not in the Fl Statutes 944, Gain Time Statute*

*S.T.P. Gain Time is not Afforded by Statute And cannot be considered and used As Time Served to shorten my Prison Sentence As is provided by Statute where work Gain Time is not A form of Discretionary Early Release*

02-02-2015  
DATE

*Willie Smith 040330*  
SIGNATURE OF GRIEVANT AND D.C. #

\*BY SIGNATURE, INMATE AGREES TO THE FOLLOWING # OF 30-DAY EXTENSIONS:

# 1 Signature

**INSTRUCTIONS**

This form is used for filing a formal grievance at the institution or facility level as well as for filing appeals to the Office of the Secretary in accordance with Rule 33-103.006, Florida Administrative Code. When an appeal is made to the Secretary, a copy of the initial response to the grievance must be attached (except as stated below).

When the inmate feels that he may be adversely affected by the submission of a grievance at the institutional level because of the nature of the grievance, or is entitled by Chapter 33-103 to file a direct grievance he may address his grievance directly to the Secretary's Office. The grievance may be sealed in the envelope by the inmate and processed postage free through routine institutional channels. The inmate must indicate a valid reason for not initially bringing his grievance to the attention of the institution. If the inmate does not provide a valid reason or if the Secretary or his designated representative determines that the reason supplied is not adequate, the grievance will be returned to the inmate for processing at the institutional level pursuant to F.A.C. 33-103.007 (6)(d).

Receipt for Appeals Being Forwarded to Central Office

Submitted by the inmate on: FEB 03 2015 (Date)      Institutional Mailing Log #: 1502251-0104      *Miller* (Received By)

- |               |  |   |
|---------------|--|---|
| DISTRIBUTION: | INSTITUTION/FACILITY<br>INMATE (2 Copies)<br>INMATE'S FILE<br>INSTITUTIONAL GRIEVANCE FILE | CENTRAL OFFICE<br>INMATE<br>INMATE'S FILE - INSTITUTION / FACILITY<br>CENTRAL OFFICE INMATE FILE<br>CENTRAL OFFICE GRIEVANCE FILE |
|---------------|--|---|

*86*

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY OR APPEAL

RECEIVED

APR 11 2014

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
INMATE GRIEVANCES

Third Party Grievance Alleging Sexual Abuse

TO:  Warden  Assistant Warden  Secretary, Florida Department of Corrections  
From or IF Alleging Sexual Abuse, on the behalf of:

Smith, Willie A  
Last First Middle Initial

040330  
DC Number

Columbia LT Annex  
Institution

14-1-11909

Part A - Inmate Grievance

Appeal for Formal Grievance Log # 1403-251-089

my formal Grievance Log # 1403-251-089 was Erroneously answered where my Allegations and Contentions were not Addressed and that was that the DAG has interpreted the 85% statute wrong and is Applying the wrong Sentencing Application

MY Claim is that the 85% Statute is to be Applied as the 65% Sentencing Statute was Applied and the only Difference is that 15% will be Automatically taken off to start An Inmate's Prison Sentence at 85% - Just As the 15 was taken off a Inmate's Prison Sentence to start An Inmate's Prison Sentence At 65%

This is the Claim that was not Addressed

04-02-2014  
DATE

Willie Smith 040330  
SIGNATURE OF GRIEVANT AND D.C. #

BY SIGNATURE, INMATE AGREES TO THE FOLLOWING # OF 30-DAY EXTENSIONS:

12

# 1  
Signature

INSTRUCTIONS

This form is used for filing a formal grievance at the institution or facility level as well as for filing appeals to the Office of the Secretary in accordance with Rule 33-103.006, Florida Administrative Code. When an appeal is made to the Secretary, a copy of the initial response to the grievance must be attached (except as stated below).

When the inmate feels that he may be adversely affected by the submission of a grievance at the institutional level because of the nature of the grievance, or is entitled by Rule 33-103 to file a direct grievance he may address his grievance directly to the Secretary's Office. The grievance may be sealed in the envelope by the inmate and processed postage free through routine institutional channels. The inmate must indicate a valid reason for not initially bringing his grievance to the attention of the institution. If the inmate does not provide a valid reason or if the Secretary or his designated representative determines that the reason supplied is not adequate, the grievance will be returned to the inmate for processing at the institutional level pursuant to F.A.C. 33-103.007 (6)(d).

Receipt for Appeals Being Forwarded to Central Office

Filed by the inmate on: 4/18/14  
(Date) Institutional Mailing Log #: 140545

1403-251-089

Delli Lynn  
(Received By)

DISTRIBUTION:

INSTITUTION/FACILITY  
INMATE (2 Copies)  
INMATE'S FILE  
INSTITUTIONAL GRIEVANCE FILE

CENTRAL OFFICE  
INMATE  
INMATE'S FILE - INSTITUTION/FACILITY  
CENTRAL OFFICE INMATE FILE  
CENTRAL OFFICE GRIEVANCE FILE

8u (251)

A

PART B - RESPONSE


SMITH, WILLIE INMATE	040330 NUMBER	1502-251-063 GRIEVANCE LOG NUMBER	COLUMBIA C.I. CURRENT INMATE LOCATION	H2122S HOUSING LOCATION
-------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------


Your request for administrative remedy has been received, reviewed and evaluated.

After reviewing your grievance the following was determined:

- The attached response you received was a sufficient answer.
- Your grievance only makes a statement, there is no question or remedy stated in the body of the grievance.

Based on the foregoing, your grievance is denied. If you feel further action is required you may receive further review of your complaint by obtaining form DC1-303, Request for Administrative Remedy or Appeal, completing the form, providing attachments as required by DC rule 33.103.001, and forwarding your complaint to the Bureau of Inmate Grievance Appeals, 501 South Calhoun Street. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2500.

  
 T. CAMOREAUX  
 SIGNATURE AND TYPED OR PRINTED NAME  
 OF EMPLOYEE RESPONDING

  
 SIGNATURE OF WARDEN, ASST. WARDEN, OR  
 SECRETARY'S REPRESENTATIVE

2/16/15  
 DATE

FEB 19 2015

COPY DISTRIBUTION - INSTITUTION / FACILITY

- (2 Copies) Inmate
- (1 Copy) Inmate's File
- (1 Copy) Retained by Official Responding

COPY DISTRIBUTION - CENTRAL OFFICE

- (1 Copy) Inmate
- (1 Copy) Inmate's File - Inst./Facility
- (1 Copy) C.O. Inmate File
- (1 Copy) Retained by Official Responding

**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**  
**REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY OR APPEAL**

Third Party Grievance Alleging Sexual Abuse

TO:  Warden     Assistant Warden     Secretary, Florida Department of Corrections  
 From or IF Alleging Sexual Abuse, on the behalf of:

SMITH, WILLIE A.  
 Last      First      Middle Initial

040330  
 DC Number

Columbia C.I. Annex  
 Institution

Grievance About Gain Time Part A - Inmate Grievance

my T.R.D. date is Erroneously Placed in the Year of 2020- Co-  
 ntrary to The Fl Statute that "REQUIRE" me to serve 85% of  
 my Prison sentence. In the same manner of the Previous 65%  
 its about the "INTERPRETATION OF THE STATUTE" where  
 inmates had to serve 65% of their Prison sentence - D.O.C. Automa-  
 tically Took off a 1/3 of an inmates prison sentence and that is where  
 the inmates T.R.D. date started from - But - with the 85% - with the  
 very same Language of the 65% - The F.D.O.C. is starting all inmates  
 Prison sentences at A 100% of the Prison sentence all because  
 of A Different Interpretation of the statutes - that have the  
 same Language - But A Different Degree of Prison sentence that  
 is to be served by the Inmate - with 85% instead of 65%  
 therefore - The <sup>ISSUE</sup> ~~Question~~ to be Resolved is the correct Interpretation  
 and Application of the 85% Prison sentence Requirement is that - As the  
 previous 65% Application - An Inmate come to Prison with a 100% Pris-  
 on sentence - And the statute dictate that an Inmate will do 85% of the  
 Prison sentence - Then F.D.O.C. is to Automatically Deduct 15% off  
 of my 100% Prison sentence to make my Prison sentence at 85% when  
 I start serving it - and start Earning Gain Time which is by Stat-  
 ute - Dictated and Guaranteed to be Equivalent to Time Served, and  
 is not a form of Discretionary Early Release. And I am to earn  
 work Gain time until my front date and my End Date meet.  
 By Statute - The only Time I am not to Earn Gain Time to expire  
 my Prison sentence is by having a Mandatory or min man. sentence  
 Imposed - ~~therefore~~ my T.R.D. Date should be in 2015 and not 2017

03-15-2014  
 DATE

Willie Smith 040330  
 SIGNATURE OF GRIEVANT AND D.C. #

\*BY SIGNATURE, INMATE AGREES TO THE FOLLOWING # OF 30-DAY EXTENSIONS:

# 1 Signature

**INSTRUCTIONS**


This form is used for filing a formal grievance at the institution or facility level as well as for filing appeals to the Office of the Secretary in accordance with Rule 33-103.006, Florida Administrative Code. When an appeal is made to the Secretary, a copy of the initial response to the grievance must be attached (except as stated below).

When the inmate feels that he may be adversely affected by the submission of a grievance at the institutional level because of the nature of the grievance, or is entitled by Chapter 33-103 to file a direct grievance he may address his grievance directly to the Secretary's Office. The grievance may be sealed in the envelope by the inmate and processed postage free through routine institutional channels. The inmate must indicate a valid reason for not initially bringing his grievance to the attention of the institution. If the inmate does not provide a valid reason or if the Secretary or his designated representative determines that the reason supplied is not adequate, the grievance will be returned to the inmate for processing at the institutional level pursuant to F.A.C. 33-103.007 (6)(d).

Receipt for Appeals Being Forwarded to Central Office

Submitted by the Inmate on: MAR 21 2014  
 (Date)

Institutional Mailing Log #: 1403-251-089

  
 (Received By)

DISTRIBUTION:    INSTITUTION/FACILITY  
 INMATE (2 Copies)  
 INMATE'S FILE  
 INSTITUTIONAL GRIEVANCE FILE


CENTRAL OFFICE  
 INMATE  
 INMATE'S FILE - INSTITUTION / FACILITY  
 CENTRAL OFFICE INMATE FILE  
 CENTRAL OFFICE GRIEVANCE FILE

Willie Smith 040330-2-142-S

Mayo Correctional Institution Annex

8784 West U.S. Hwy 27

Mayo FL 32066



CLEARED

NOV 30 2015

Florida Supreme Court

MAILED FROM A STATE  
CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTE

Clerk of Court  
Fl. Supreme Court  
500 South Duval St.  
Tallahassee FL 32399

