Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC17-566

CURTIS W. BEASLEY, Appellant,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA, Appellee.

[January 23, 2018]

PER CURIAM.

We have for review Curtis W. Beasley's appeal of the circuit court's order denying Beasley's motion filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.851. This Court has jurisdiction. <u>See</u> art. V, § 3(b)(1), Fla. Const.

Beasley's motion sought relief pursuant to the United States Supreme Court's decision in <u>Hurst v. Florida</u>, 136 S. Ct. 616 (2016), and our decision on remand in <u>Hurst v. State</u> (<u>Hurst</u>), 202 So. 3d 40 (Fla. 2016), <u>cert. denied</u>, 137 S. Ct. 2161 (2017). This Court stayed Beasley's appeal pending the disposition of <u>Hitchcock v. State</u>, 226 So. 3d 216 (Fla. 2017), <u>cert. denied</u>, 138 S. Ct. 513 (2017). After this Court decided <u>Hitchcock</u>, Beasley responded to this Court's order to show cause arguing why <u>Hitchcock</u> should not be dispositive in this case.

After reviewing Beasley's response to the order to show cause, as well as the State's arguments in reply, we conclude that Beasley is not entitled to relief. Beasley was sentenced to death following a jury's recommendation for death by a vote of ten to two, and his sentence of death became final in 2001. <u>Beasley v.</u> <u>State</u>, 774 So. 2d 649, 657 (Fla. 2000). Thus, <u>Hurst</u> does not apply retroactively to Beasley's sentence of death. <u>See Hitchcock</u>, 226 So. 3d at 217. Accordingly, we affirm the denial of Beasley's motion.

The Court having carefully considered all arguments raised by Beasley, we caution that any rehearing motion containing reargument will be stricken. It is so ordered.

LABARGA, C.J., and QUINCE, POLSTON, and LAWSON, JJ., concur. PARIENTE, J., concurs in result with an opinion. LEWIS and CANADY, JJ., concur in result.

PARIENTE, J., concurring in result.

I concur in result because I recognize that this Court's opinion in <u>Hitchcock</u> <u>v. State</u>, 226 So. 3d 216 (Fla. 2017), <u>cert. denied</u>, 2017 WL 4355572 (U.S. Dec. 4, 2017), is now final. However, I continue to adhere to the views expressed in my dissenting opinion in <u>Hitchcock</u>.

An Appeal from the Circuit Court in and for Polk County, Jalal A. Harb, Judge - Case No. 531995CF004842A1XXXX Bjorn Erik Brunvand and J. Jervis Wise, Brunvand Wise PA, Clearwater, Florida,

for Appellant

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, and Lisa Martin, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, Florida,

for Appellee