# Supreme Court of Florida

\_\_\_\_\_

No. SC17-942

\_\_\_\_\_

### ROBERT JOE LONG,

Appellant,

VS.

#### STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

[January 29, 2018]

#### PER CURIAM.

We have for review Robert Joe Long's appeal of the circuit court's order denying his motion filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.851. This Court has jurisdiction. <u>See</u> art. V, § 3(b)(1), Fla. Const.

Long's motion sought relief pursuant to the United States Supreme Court's decision in Hurst v. Florida, 136 S. Ct. 616 (2016), and our decision on remand in Hurst v. State (Hurst), 202 So. 3d 40 (Fla. 2016), cert. denied, 137 S. Ct. 2161 (2017). This Court stayed Long's appeal pending the disposition of Hitchcock v. State, 226 So. 3d 216 (Fla. 2017), cert. denied, 138 S. Ct. 513 (2017). After this

Court decided <u>Hitchcock</u>, Long responded to this Court's order to show cause arguing why Hitchcock should not be dispositive in this case.

After reviewing Long's response to the order to show cause, as well as the State's arguments in reply, we conclude that Long is not entitled to relief. Long was sentenced to death following a jury's unanimous recommendation for death. Long v. State, 610 So. 2d 1268, 1269 (Fla. 1992). His sentence of death became final in 1993. Long v. Florida, 510 U.S. 832 (1993). Thus, Hurst does not apply retroactively to Long's sentence of death. See Hitchcock, 226 So. 3d at 217. Accordingly, we affirm the denial of Long's motion.

The Court having carefully considered all arguments raised by Long, we caution that any rehearing motion containing reargument will be stricken. It is so ordered.

LABARGA, C.J., and POLSTON, and LAWSON, JJ., concur. PARIENTE, J., concurs in result with an opinion. LEWIS and CANADY, JJ., concur in result. QUINCE, J., recused.

PARIENTE, J., concurring in result.

I concur in result because I recognize that this Court's opinion in <u>Hitchcock</u> v. State, 226 So. 3d 216 (Fla. 2017), <u>cert. denied</u>, 138 S. Ct. 513 (2017), is now final. However, I continue to adhere to the views expressed in my dissenting opinion in <u>Hitchcock</u>.

An Appeal from the Circuit Court in and for Hillsborough County,

## Michelle Sisco, Judge - Case No. 291984CF013346000AHC

Robert Anthony Norgard of Norgard, Norgard & Chastang, P.A., Bartow, Florida,

for Appellant

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, and Stephen D. Ake, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, Florida,

for Appellee