DEPARTMENT OF STATE

BOARD OF APPELLATE REVIEW

August 21, 1981

CASE OF: H M G

This is an appeal from an administrative holding of the Department of State that appellant, Mrs. How More Game, expatriated herself on April 7, 1968, under the provisions of section 349(a)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952 by acquiring the citizenship of Israel upon her own application. $\frac{1}{2}$

1/Section 349(a)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1481(a)(1), reads:

> Sec. 349. From and after the effective date of this Act a person who is a national of the United States whether by birth or naturalization, shall lose his nationality by --

(1) obtaining naturalization in a foreign state upon his own application, . . .

On July 15, 1981, the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Passport Services submitted the record on which the Department's determination of loss of nationality was based and a memorandum setting forth the Department's position on the appeal. The Department concluded that it was unable to sustain the burden of establishing by a preponderance of the evidence appellant's intent to relinquish her United States citizenship, and, therefore, requested the Board to remand appellant's case for the purpose of vacating the certificate of loss of nationality that was issued in her case.

Upon review of the entire record before the Board, and in light of Afroyim v. Rusk, 387 U.S. 253 (1967) and Vance v. Terrazas, 444 U.S. 252 (1980), we concur that the evidence of record fails to support a finding that her expatriating act was accompanied by an intent to relinguish her United States citizenship. We are, accordingly, agreeable to the request for remand to vacate the certificate of loss of nationality.

The case is hereby remanded to Passport Services for further proceedings.2/

Julia W. Willis, Chairman Edward G. Misey, Member

96

Wanen E. Hewitt, Member

 $\frac{2}{\text{Section 7.2 Title 22, Code of Federal Regulations, 22 C.F.R.}$ 7.2, provides in part:

> ... The Board shall take any action it considers appropriate and necessary to the disposition of cases appealed to it.