### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

## BOARD OF APPELLATE REVIEW

IN THE MATTER OF: D G

This is an appeal from an administrative determination the Department of State, dated March 5, 1987, holding t appellant, December 8, 1977 under the provisions of section 349(a)(l) the Immigration and Nationality Act by obtaining naturalizat in Canada upon his own application. 1/

The Department, replying to appellant's opening bri submits that after reviewing the matter, it finds insuffici evidence to enable it to carry its burden of proving by preponderance of the evidence that appellant intended relinquish his United States citizenship when he obtain naturalization in Canada. Accordingly, the Department requethat the Board remand the case so that the Department may vac the certificate of loss of nationality that was executed approved in appellant's name.

The Board grants the Department's request for remand.

1/ When appellant obtained naturalization in Canada, sect 349(a)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 14 read in pertinent part as follows:

Sec. 349. (a) From and after the effective date of t Act a person who is a national of the United Sta whether by birth or naturalization, shall lose nationality by --

(1) obtaining naturalization in a fore state upon his own application, ...

p.L. 99-653, 100 Stat. 3655 (1986), amended subsection of section 349 by inserting "voluntarily performing any of 1 following acts with the intention of relinquishing United Stat nationality:" after "shall lose his nationality by".

- 2 -

Ι

A consular officer of the Unite States Embassy at Ottawa executed a certificate of loss of nationality in appellant's name on January 23, 1987. 2/ Therein the official certified that appellant acquired United States nationality by virtue of birth in acquired the nationality of Canada on December 8, 1977 by virtue of naturalization; and thereby expatriated himself. The Department approved the certificate on March 5, 1987, an action that constitutes an administrative determination of loss of nationality from which the adversely affected person may take an appeal to the Board of Appellate Review. Appellant entered an appeal pro se on April 30, 1987.

TT

The Deputy Assistant Secretary for Consular Affairs (Passport Services) on October 1, 1987 submitted the administrative record upon which the Department based its holding of appellant's expatriation and a memorandum in which the Department requested that the Board remand the case for the purpose of vacating the certificate of loss of nationality. The Department's memorandum reads as follows:

2/ Section 358 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1501, reads:

Sec. 358. Whenever a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States has reason to believe that a person while in a foreign state has lost his United States nationality under any provision of chapter 3 of this title, or under any provision of chapter IV of the Nationality Act of 1940, as amended, he shall certify the facts upon which such belief is based to the Department of State, in writing, under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of State. If the report of the diplomatic or consular officer is approved by the Secretary of State, a copy of the certificate shall be forwarded to the Attorney General, for his information, and the diplomatic or consular office in which the report was made shall be directed to forward a copy of the certificate to the person to whom it relates.

# Position of the Department

The Department has closely reviewed this case has concluded that there is insufficient evide to meet the Department's burden of proving b preponderance of the evidence that the appell intended to relinquish his U.S. citizenship at time he naturalized in Canada. 3/

## FACTS

Date Grand was born on May 17, 1948 Manchester, New Hampshire. He thereby acqui U.S. nationality under the 14th Amendment of Constitution. Denis and his brother, Clau emmigrated to Canada in August 1970 and July 19 respectively. Both brothers enrolled universities in Canada and studied chemical

<sup>3</sup>/ In loss of nationality proceedings the Government bears burden under the statute a/ of proving by a preponderance the evidence that the party intended to relinquish his Uni States citizenship when he voluntarily performed a statut expatriating act. Vance v. Terrazas, 444 U.S. 252 (198 Afroyim v. Rusk, 387 U.S. 253 (1967).

a/ Section 349(c) of the Immigration Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C 1481, provides in pertinopart that:

<sup>(</sup>c) Whenever the loss of United States nationality is put in issue in any action or proceeding commenced on or after the enactment of this subsection under, or by virtue of, the provisions of this or any other Act, the burden shall be upon the person or party claiming that such loss occurred, to establish such claim by a preponderance of the evidence...

P.L. 99-653, 100 Stat. 3655 (1986) repealed subsection (b) of section 349 but did not redesignate subsection (c).

engineering. Having difficulty acquiring employment, they both naturalized as Canadian citizens, Claude on November 16, 1976, Denis on December 18, 1977. On May 24, 1985 made an application for a U.S. passport. His citizenship status was investigated, and it was determined that he had not lost his U.S. nationality. passport on January 20, 1987; he was found to have lost his U.S. citizenship.

Since the facts of the brothers' cases are similar, the Department contends that it will not be able to sustain its burden in Mr.

Accordingly, it is requested that this case be remanded in order that the Certificate of Loss may be vacated.

#### III

Inasmuch as the Department has concluded that it is unable to carry its burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that intended to relinquish his United States nationality when he obtained naturalization in Canada upon his own application, and in the absence of manifest errors of law or fact, the Board perceives no reason why it should not accede to the Department's request that the case be remanded for the purpose of vacating the certificate of loss of nationality.

The case is hereby remanded for further proceedings. 4/

Alan G. James, Chairman

J. Peter A. Bernhardt, Member

George Tatt, Member

<sup>4/</sup> Section 7.2(a) of Title 22, Code of Federal Regulations, 22 CFR 7.2(a), provides in part that:

<sup>•••</sup>The Board shall take any action it considers appropriate and necessary to the disposition of cases appealed to it.