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PROCEEDINGS
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to today's Commission meeting we've all been looking forward to for a couple months.

Our goal is to adopt rules so that we can move forward with the process so that we can have our job done by next May as per our requirements.

I want to personally thank your staff for diligently until midnight, 3:00 in the morning over the weekend to prepare for this meeting. They deserve a round of applause for as far as I'm concerned.

The Commission will be in order.
Staff will please call the roll at this time.

STAFF MEMBER: Chair Beruff?
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Armas?
(No response, but arrived later during meeting.)

STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Bondi?
(No response but arrived later during meeting.)

STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Carlton?
COMMISSIONER CARLTON: Here.

STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Cerio?
COMMISSIONER CERIO: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Coxe?
COMMISSIONER COXE: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Diaz?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Donalds?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Gaetz?
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Gainey?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Gamez?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Heuchan?
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Johnson?
COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Jordan?
COMMISSIONER JORDAN: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Joyner?
COMMISSIONER JOYNER: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Karlinsky?
COMMISSIONER KARLINSKY: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Keiser?

COMMISSIONER KEISER: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Kruppenbacher?
COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Lee?
COMMISSIONER LEE: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Lester?
COMMISSIONER LESTER: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Levesque?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Martinez?
COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Newsome?
(No response, but arrived later in meeting.)

THE STAFF: Commissioner Nocco?
COMMISSIONER NOCCO: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Nunez?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Patronis?
COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Present.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Plymale?
COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Rouson?
COMMISSIONER ROUSON: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Schifino?

COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Smith?
(No response, but arrived later in meeting.)

STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Solari?
COMMISSIONER SOLARI: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Sprow1s?
(No response, but arrived later in meeting.)

UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: Five minutes away.

STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Stemberger?
COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Stewart?
COMMISSIONER STEWART: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner
Thurlow-Lippisch?
COMMISSIONER THURLOW-LIPPISCH: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Timmann?
COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Washington?
COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Here.
STAFF MEMBER: Quorum is present,
Mr. Chair.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I would like to say that

Commissioner Bondi had a flat tire on the interstate, and she is on her way here.

I'm sure she had help.
UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: Commissioner
Smith will be here in 10 minutes.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I'm sorry?
UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: Commissioner
Smith will be here in 10 minutes. And Commissioner Armas is also about 10 to 15 minutes out, so....

We're going to start, as we usually do, with the invocation led by Commissioner Lester.

Please stand.
(Invocation by Commissioner Lester.)
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Schifino will lead us in the Pledge.
(Pledge of Allegiance.)
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: The Chair recognizes Commissioner Martinez as an introduction to his Amendment .

COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Mr. Chair -- excuse me. Good morning, members of the Commission. Good morning, members of the public.

Mr. Chair, my Amendment is Amendment No. 1, and it deals with the Sunshine Law and the Public

Records Law, something that I feel very strongly that this Commission should adopt.

Since we're really here doing the work of the public, it's important for the public to see what we do in public.

But I want to withdraw my motion -- my Amendment at this time. It's also submitted as Amendment No. 47. It's Amendment 47 to Amendment 6.

So at this time I'11 withdraw my Amendment No. 1. And, hopefully, I'll be able to take up the discussion on my Amendment, the same substance of it later on.

Thank you, sir.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: So, then, I believe, if my memory serves me right, we will go to the second Amendment, which is Commissioner Plymale's Amendment .

Commissioner Plymale is recognized to introduce her Amendment.

COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: Thank you.
Mr. Chair, I'm just making sure I'm in the right spot.

I believe that it's the first Amendment that I submitted.

And it -- my intention was to change the Chair's ability to create new Standing Committee; however, it provides for the Chair to create select committees of special purpose such as we had with the Rules Working Group. I think that that more clearly says what I would like to see us do.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Plymale.

The next --
Is there questions of Commissioner Plymale's Amendment?

UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER:
Mr. Chairman, what page is it on?
UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: 53?

COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: No. 25
UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: 53?
THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. I don't know who's talking. I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay. Please introduce yourselves when you approach the mic.

Is there any questions to Commissioner Plymale's Amendment?

If there are no questions, then we will go to Mr. Heuchan -- Mr. Heuchan's Amendment,

Substitute Amendment.
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Yes, thank you.
COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Excuse me.
For the benefit of us, who are flipping a lot, could we have everybody tell us what page it's on? And then when they speak to it, what is it amending?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay. Do you have that now, Mr. Krupp -- Commissioner Kruppenbacher?

MR. KRUPPENBACHER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay.
So if -- as we proceed, we'll introduce that.

Thank you.
Commissioner Heuchan, your Substitute Amendment .

COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Yes, sir.
And it is a Substitute Amendment, and it's drafted to Commissioner Plymale's Amendment.

My name for the court reporter is Brecht Heuchan.

And it's a Strike-All Amendment. So this Amendment would not only strike Commissioner Plymale's Amendment, but it would also strike the rules as they were proposed and presented two or
so months ago.
And so I wanted to take a minute or two just to in my -- this is, obviously, from my perspective. I know it's not shared by everyone; but just to put into context how we got here, there were rules that were proposed that were introduced three or four days ahead of our opening organizational session.

I wanted to thank the Chairman for tapping the brakes on those rules, and it was shared, I think, by most people that if we had more time to understand what those rules meant, what they did and it also provided us with a lot of opportunity to hear from the public, from one another about concerns that we had about those rules in particular.

My Amendment is mine. It's my name on it, but it's not mine at all. It's a -- it's quite literally, a compilation of ideas that I heard from you all; that we heard on countless occasions from the public.

It also incorporates most -- many -- most of the ideas that we've heard from advocacy groups that have sent us letters. I have read all of these letters.

This Amendment addresses, as I said, most, or all, of the concerns raised by those groups. And at the time that $I$ filed this Amendment, I felt like it addressed literally all of the concerns that I heard.

I have since learned that there are other concerns. And I understand that, and that's okay.

I just wanted to say this that these rules are important. We all know that they're important. They're important. They provide a framework for what we do, how we behave, how we handle different people's ideas.

But I also wanted to say, just in context, you know, people in the real world care much, much less about the relatively small, and even perhaps trivial things; about how many people serve on this committee, or that committee, and what this vote threshold is and what that one is.

The things that I've heard from people -and people that I don't know and even people that I do know -- and I know we all go back to our homes and we talk to our families, and we talk to our friends and, you know, they tell you: Hey, are you okay? What's going on?

You know, this has been a stressful week for sure, for me. I'11 tell you that. I'11 admit to you. And so if I sound that way, it's true.

But, to a T, those people that I talk to and that I hear from care much, much more about what we do out into the future; about what we can do to put our State on a course for the future, and how we can make their day-to-day lives better. That is what they care about.

And I'm not diminishing or minimizing the debates that we'11 have today.

This Amendment adopts -- I'11 just go right into it.

You know, we've heard many, many times about the merit of the old rules and how they've worked well. And that's been pretty universally shared, and I haven't really heard that refuted much by us or by others.

So what I did was: I took -- and I keyed off of President Lee's good idea of starting with the base ' 98 rules, again borrowing an idea from him. And you'11 see that I borrowed other ideas from you in the construction of this Amendment. This Amendment adopts the '98 Public

Submission Proposal, which was important to a lot of people we heard from from the public. So that structure stays intact.

We allowed for remote participation in committee meetings for commissioners with medical exceptions. That was something that I heard that seemed reasonable going forward.

The Amendment also provides for -- I know this was the subject of a lot of debate and conversations, but it provides for an active committee structure, a strong committee structure people call it. It empowers the individual commissioner at the committee level, but it doesn't work the will of the majority.

In my working drafts of this Amendment, I call this The Schifino Amendment because our friend, Commissioner Schifino, when asked by the Commission, of the staff, prior to our Working Group, he took the time to review -- clearly had reviewed the rules as proposed and offered some ideas about not letting the will of a small group of people in a committee thwart the will or stymie the will of most of us. And so I incorporated that. I gave -- I know I may not have done it artfully, and, you know, there might be some suggestions that, oh, it doesn't quite do what I mean; but let me explain what I mean in my intent about this provision.

I call it a clawback provision, a resurrection provision, but it's really the Schifino Amendment. And it -- for any reason if there is a proposal that's stuck in a committee, whether it's heard or not, it can be removed from that committee, all of its committees, and go directly to the special order calendar. So it bypasses and, essentially, overrules the will of a smaller group of people and replaces that for the will of the majority.

And I felt strongly when I asked Elisa to draft this.

Once you get to that threshold of majority that there should be no more, you know, barriers to the discussion of that proposal. It shouldn't have to go and linger in the Rules Committee, and be subject to the will of more smaller groups of people.

And so that's what it does.
It also requires the Chairman to refer every proposal to a committee. It also requires that -- that the committee hear that proposal.

So at every turn, there isn't one person sitting on something for -- maybe there're even noble reasons. Maybe they have a policy objection to it.

But replete in this Amendment is a respect for the will of the body. And I make -- the equivalent of the will of the body to me isn't 10 people. It isn't 6 people. It isn't 15 people. It's a majority.

And I know that there's people that want to debate and discuss, you know, why that number should be smaller, and that's okay. And I'11 do that with them and encourage them.

But that's the number I picked, and those are the reasons why I have picked it.

The next change that I made to the proposal was: I adopted -- I don't know if it's all, to be honest. The staff could help me with that, but it sure was a lot of -- most of the consent items of the Rules Working Group.

There were -- as you guys well know, there were items that we did not agree to. I happen to be in the minority on some of those.

But the Working Group, the 8 of us worked in earnest with one another, and did come up with
some things that we had a hundred percent agreement on. And I adopted a lot of those in this Amendment.

And, specifically, my Amendment eliminates the ability for the Chairman to create additional Standing Committees. I know that was a concern by many about what could happen after we adopt rules whenever we do that.

It also eliminates the ability for the Commission Chairman to call snap notices.

The Chairman has been forceful on making sure that we have notice required for the public and to one another.

My Amendment also embraces the list of Standing Committees as they were in 1998.

Commissioner Newsome and the Working Group, had a strong feeling about the ethics in elections for good reasons. He felt like that should be its own thing, and I agree with him. And so I separated it. It is its own thing.

Commissioner Timmann, not surprisingly, felt like that the Local Government Committee should have its own thing. Its own purview. Its own jurisdiction. And I agree with her, and that's why I put that concept in this Amendment.

The last primary change -- and I'm glad I'm going through the details of the change. And I might need Elisa's help to do that; but the last primary change -- so I'm proposing these as the '98 rules with four primary changes.

I just described three of them.
The last change, substantive change, is with regard to the Rules Committee.

The Rules Committee has been the subject of a lot of debate, understandably.

I took -- and there were lots of ideas. You know, from things where, you know, we rely on outside people to appoint the committees, to -- I know President Gaetz has an idea where we allow people to caucus together and select the representatives of that.

I picked a version that was in the middle of that, in my opinion, which was proportional representation by appointing authority -- or of appointing authority.

And I -- and I got to tell you that this is the Amendment that I like the least about my proposal; and -- but I did it because -- I know there's anxiety among our friends that sit together here about, you know, what's going to
happen with that committee, and, boy, that committee sure has a lot of authority.

I will tell you, by the way, that the clawback provision applies to the Rules Committee, as I mentioned before; and that that clawback provision applying to the Rules Committee dilutes substantially the authority of that Rules Committee.

The special order calendar can be amended in my proposal by a majority vote, similarly to the other ideas.

But I'11 just say this about this proportional representation. For one, if this Amendment passes, or any variant of this Rules Committee idea passes, I hope that our Chairman not only respects the proportionality of who we were appointed by, but lots of other characteristics that, in my view, are way more important than who we were appointed by. Where we're from being one of them.

And you look around this table and there's a lot, a lot of diversity on a lot of things, and that's what's beautiful about this.

But the reason I don't like this Amendment the most is because all I've heard in the months
since I was appointed to this Commission was: Man, we can't wait until this Commission becomes this collegial body and we can work with one another. Disagree on policy things for sure. No doubt. That's what we ought to do.

But we ought to -- and these were comments to me. These weren't my own -- my own thoughts until -- until after it started happening was: Why is it so important who appointed you?

I mean, I may identify more, you know, with Commissioner Stemberger on ideological things than I do with people from my own appointing group.

Why are we affirming lines that, to a T, I think every one of us want to go away. Those lines should be blurred. They should fade away.

And at the end, obviously, my hope is that no one even remembers who appointed us, or certainly --

You know, I know my friend, Commissioner Stemberger, was appointed by someone different than me.

Those are the primary changes of my Amendment .

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes.
THE COURT REPORTER: Who's talking?
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Who was that?
THE COURT REPORTER: Who is that?
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Don Gaetz.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Gaetz.
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Question?
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Mr. Chairman, I reluctantly rise to a point of order. I say reluctantly because I believe that Commissioner Heuchan has many good ideas in his proposal, and also I have, along with many years of respect for him -- and I know he's doing everything he can to be constructive; however, Mr. Chairman, Amendment 49 which is his Amendment, is drawn as a -- as an Amendment to the Amendment to Amendment 2 sponsored by Commissioners Plymale and Timmann.

Amendment 2, which is the main Amendment on the floor, removes the ability of the Chair to name additional Standing Committees.

But Amendment 49, which is what is the Amendment to the Amendment introduced by Commissioner Heuchan, it's a 21-page strike-all
proposing an entirely complete set of rules for consideration.

Now, the Chairman has proposed that Mason's Manual of Legislative procedure, the authority to guide the Commission -- Section $402(5)$ of Mason's Rules states: Quote, An Amendment to an Amendment must been germane to the subject of the Amendment, as well as to the main question.

What that means is that an Amendment to the Amendment has to deal with a portion of the Amendment. It can't go beyond the scope of the main Amendment that's before us.

In addition, Amendment 49 would violate Rule 7.3 of the proposed rules regarding germanity of amendments.

Proposed Rule 7.3 states: Quote, neither the Commission, or any substantive committee, shall consider an amendment that relates to a different subject, or is intended to accomplish a different purpose than that of the pending question; or that, if adopted, would require a title amendment for the proposal that is substantially different from the proposal's original title, or would unreasonably alter the nature of the proposal.

And, finally, Mr. Chairman, the third ground on which Commissioner Heuchan's Amendment is out of order is that the language contained in his Amendment related to germanity would be violated as well. In other words, his Amendment is internally inconsistent as to germanity because his substitute Amendment states no proposition on a subject different from that under consideration shall be admitted under the color of Amendment.

These reasons, Mr. Chairman, Amendment 49 is not germane, as it proposes an entirely new proposal, an entirely new set of rules. It goes far, far beyond the scope of the Amendment it seeks to amend; and, therefore, is out of order.

There is another parliamentary problem with his Amendment -- and I know that he doesn't intend it, but it is a fact -- and that is because Commissioner Heuchan's proposal is an Amendment to the Amendment, it means that it is take it or leave it. It itself cannot be amended.

Mason's Rules, and the Chairman's own direction to us, indicate that there cannot be amendments of the third degree. In other words,
you can't have an Amendment, to the Amendment, to the Amendment, which means that if we adopt Commissioner Heuchan's, all of the other ideas that Commissioners have filed as amendments go away because they cannot be considered because they would be amendments to the third degree.

And for those reasons -- and notwithstanding my great respect for Commissioner Heuchan, and my support for many of the ideas, which he has proposed, his Amendment is non-germane, internally inconsistent, precludes other amendments from the body and is, therefore, out of order.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Gaetz.

And therein lies part of the issues that I struggle with as we try to get things done, that litany of procedural questions, which I'm unfamiliar (sic) with.

But I do have a couple of comments. There are no rules.

COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: It is my understanding that without rules, there is no germanity standard, which we're happy to have verified by
the staff.
And, of course, there always is: If you don't like the Amendment, you don't have to vote for it.

So thank you for your comment.
If anybody has any questions to the staff to expand on the germanity issue, I'm happy to have the staff do that.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Mr. -- Commissioner Stemberger.

MR. STEMBERGER: Has this body or the Rules Committee, or U.S. Chairman, made statements regarding Mason's Rules being the standard --

COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: No.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: No.
COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: -- for this procedure?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: No.
COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: No.
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Mr. Chairman, this is Donald Gaetz again.

I would recommend --
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Chair acknow1edges Commissioner Gaetz.

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mason's Rules is referenced in the proposed rules. And if we are going to --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: It's not adopted yet, Commissioner Gaetz.

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Absolutely.
But if we are to take the position that there are no rules; that there are no procedures by which we will operate, I would suggest to you that that is extraordinarily unusual. It's unprecedented, and it will lead to chaos.

I think you have to have some method of going forward.

And in the proposed rules that came from you, Mr. Chairman, Mason's Rules were used as the source for procedure.

Mason's Rules are the source for procedure for every legislature in the United States.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I believe the question is directed to Mr. Heuchan's Amendment, not the proposed rules that were initiated.

The Chair acknowledges Commissioner Kruppenbacher.

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Let me just
say that I appreciate what every legislature may have done. But, based upon what I've heard at all these public meetings, that's not the guideline I want to follow.

And in the last five minutes when you get into this Amendment -- not Amendment, yes; Amendment, no, I think it's overly confusing.

Can we simply put aside everything -- we are all reasonably bright people -- and just put the issues on the table, and we will ultimately vote on the rules.

But if we're going to tie this group up in these kind of procedures, we're going achieve the very end that the public is so critical about in Washington and Tallahassee in terms of: No, you can't make the Amendment. You got to do it here. It dies in the rules. It doesn't die here.

And, candidly, I got on this, when I was asked, to bring what I thought was a common-sense approach.

And the common sense says to me: Here are the rules. We understand them. I don't care whether they say amendment, non-amendment.

And I appreciate you clarifying. Right now there are no rules.

So, to me, every Commissioner should have the right to say what they think, and then ultimately we will vote.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Newsome.
COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Yeah. First of all, I want to commend Brecht. I mean, he has busted his hump over the last two weeks trying to put this thing together.

And, also, everybody that has served on the Rules Committee, we've all struggled with this.

And this is actually our first effort to try to do something.

And so what I -- I appreciate Senator Gaetz' suggestion, though. And I get it.

And here's a -- I'm going to take a 30,000-foot view for just a moment.

There are probably about a half dozen real issues. And everybody has a --

I see Commissioner Schifino nod his head.
I know Carolyn has spent a lot of time.
There's a lot of effort -- heck, I was up till 1:30 just trying to read through this.

By the way, I've got a little summary I've finished -- it's rough -- if anybody wants it --
kind of outlining what all this stuff is. And I'11 pass it around.

I even put together a spreadsheet. And if anybody wants one, I've got 50 copies.

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Commissioner Newsome, you were a C student.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: That's right. I'm still a C student.

So -- but here's what I was going to suggest. There are -- there are -- there's really only -- having gone through this stuff, we've probably got 70 of these things filed. Amendments to Amendments Substituted.

There's about 6 to 10 issues. And what I'd suggest -- and I don't know if Commissioner Heuchan would accept this as a friendly Amendment and try to bring this in for a landing.

But, to the extent that we could consider all these things -- and there's not that many of them. There's really not that many substantive issues.

And I would suggest let's take these things one by one.

And I've got even on -- and I've broken Brecht's Amendment down. It's probably got about

7 or 8 different points. There's a couple other things in there that takes out and doesn't put in, but all of this stuff can be addressed.

I would suggest if we start with the 1997-'98 rules, as Senator Lee suggested, and it sounds like Brecht is trying to do.

So rather than trying to have an up or down vote, perhaps the best way we could do -- if we really want to lay down all the rules and put them all aside and just take up these substantive issues that has to do with, you know, whether a committee can kill something. That's something we ought to debate.

There's probably half a dozen different amendments filed on that one thing.

You know, it's when something can be what they call the clawback, or -- I think Senator Schifino came up with this idea -- you know, the opening meeting thing, that's not in this.

You know, there are half a dozen of these things that we ought to just put on the floor and talk about.

I can tell you --
COMMISSIONER PATRONIS, Mr. Chairman, are we in questions or in debate?

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: No, no. Let me just finish. I'm almost done, and I'11 shut up.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I think we're in questions. So --

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: So my question would be -- and maybe this is for Senator Heuchan so we cannot have to come to blows on our very first thing, but would consider, Commissioner Heuchan, letting Senator Lee's Amendment go?

We've got -- most of this stuff is filed as an Amendment to Amendment 6.

And I'11 pass these things out if anybody -- I've kind of broken it down. And I've got those spreadsheets.

But would you consider that so we can debate each one of these, and then try to come to a place where we can bring everyone together with a spirit of collegiality. And that's my suggestion.

And, again, there's only 6 to 10 issues total, substantively.

And, Senator Gaetz, I know you've raised this. I'm just trying to find a way to maybe bring this in for a landing.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner

Newsome.
Is there other questions?
Commissioner Patronis, Commissioner Schifino, Commissioner Martinez, in that order.

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: This is Jimmy Patronis.

What I was curious about kind of goes straight to what the previous question brought up is: Could you relate to some of the characteristics of the ' 97 CRC rules and what your proposal is? Kind of show some of us lawyers that may be your -- I haven't done this side by side. I know you have.

Could you enlighten us?
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Thank you, Jimmy.
This is Brecht Heuchan again.
Well, I high1ighted the four -- the four big ones.

Commissioner Patronis, are you asking me to kind of go through line by line or --

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Just kind of general.

COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Okay. I'11 try to be more general than I was before.

My Amendment is the 1998 rules in their
entirety.
So I know that President Lee took some time to correct some technical provisions, and some -maybe some slight word changes here that aren't really material. I did not do that. I figured less is more with regard to that; but I did address the four primary reasons that, in my opinion, make the ' 98 rules better than even how they've been represented to us over and over and over again.

The ' 98 rules allowed our Chairman to pick every committee regardless of the proportionality. The old rules allow for snap notices. The old rules allow for the Standing Committee additions.

The old rules, incidentally, Commissioner Plymale, do allow the Chairman to create select committees. It's not as elaborate as your good idea, but it does allow that.

The old rules don't have a clawback provision.

And, you know, while we're on that -- and I know -- and Rich is right -- I mean, maybe there's a half a dozen issues; there might be more.

I mean, my thing is like it's -- and I mean this with sincerity. I don't mean it to be offensive to anyone, but at the time that I filed this Amendment, all -- literally -- quite literally, every single comment that I heard from each one of you was addressed in this Amendment. I know it doesn't address, you know, Commissioner Martinez' version of the Sunshine rules; but, you know, how many times did we hear, even from these advocacy groups, hey, adopt the '98 rules as-is they said.

Commissioner Martinez was quoted in a newspaper the other day saying that, you know, that both of the other commissions had open Sunshine.

So I took what was in the old ones.
And so, I mean, you know, Commissioner Patronis, I'm not sure exactly what you want from me; but the -- I did the very best that I could and with the most sincerity and genuineness that I could, and I worked as best I could with people that have these ideas, and people, frankly that didn't share some of those same views.

And so I'm a big boy, and I get why, you know, some of this stuff is going on, but I would
simply ask you to --
Look, are these perfect? No, they're not perfect. They're not.

You know, and if the words I put on this paper don't reflect some of the things that I said precisely with regard to the clawback provision, you know, the Commission can change these rules going forward. They can.

And so in the interest of the clamoring of the public to have this done and behind us -and, you know, kind of regardless who I talk to about these, and regardless of what position they came down on any one of these issues, all of them -- all of you have said: Can we get this behind us?

That's why I have filed this Amendment. And I believe that it is a compromise by any standard by anyone that is looking at it in a reasonable way.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner.
Commissioner Schifino is recognized.
COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: Bill Schifino.
Commissioner Heuchan, we spoke this weekend, and I want to applaud you for your effort just like we've heard from others here.

You've made a sincere effort to reach compromise.
And at the end of the day, my hope is -- I think like all ours here -- is that we will agree upon a set of rules.

You may not prevail on every single issue that you have in your mind as what you think would be the appropriate rules; but, at the end of the day, by majority vote, we will adopt our rules.

I also agree with my Commissioner to my left, Kruppenbacher, on: Let's get to the real issues of what we're here about today. What is really in dispute?

I've heard a lot of talk on Sunshine, clawback.

I don't know that there are really 6, Commissioner. So I'm not quite sure. Maybe there are.

But -- so at the end of the day, you know, I looked at all of these amendments, and one after the other, and whatever -- the Mason rules, or whatever they may be. And I'm not belittling those rules.

I'm used to operating within a system of rules myself.

But at the end of the day we're here to talk about significant and material issues. And I'm interested in: What are your thoughts on issues like the Sunshine Law. What are your thoughts on the clawback provision we've discussed. And we want to make sure -- I happen to believe in that. I think it important that at the end of the day the majority rule.

And then if we have an issue that either dies or is language in a committee that by majority vote, it could come back to this group.

Now, I want to make certain that the rules as proposed by Commissioner Heuchan accomplish that. And, you know, I think we need to discuss that at the end of the day.

But I suggest we're going to spend a whole lot of time here today not getting very far unless we get down to talking about those specific issues that we all have various concerns with. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner.
Commissioner Martinez and then Commissioner Kruppenbacher.

COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

When I got put on this committee -- on this Commission, I considered it a tremendous honor. I thought we were going to be debating the substantive issues.

I was surprised when I found out that the rules that were in place 20 years ago were very effective were, essentially, heavily deleted. And I find that to be very disappointing.

The reason I withdrew my Amendment today is because I think that the Amendment that is proposed by Commissioners Lee and Gaetz do the best in taking us to those rules with some tweaks. That's why I withdrew my Amendment because I wanted to get there.

I believe that the Amendment that we're discussing now is a substitute Amendment to the Amendment submitted by Commissioner Plymale.

So what I would like to ask her is: Would you mind withdrawing your Amendment, as important as it is, so that we can go to a discussion of Amendment No. 6, which will really -- it will get us into the substance of what took place 20 years ago and allow us to use that as a starting point?

Commissioner, would you consider that, please?

COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: No, thank you. I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner
Kruppenbacher.
Commissioner Plymale, please.
COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: No, thank you.
COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner
Kruppenbacher is recognized.
COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Brecht, do I understand, from what I've looked at, is you've written a complete set of rules taking into account all the thoughts and comments that were made at the Rules Committee?

COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: No. No.
Yes, I -- yes, it is a complete set of rules.

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: No, no, Commissioner Kruppenbacher, it's not all of the things that were discussed.

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: No, no, I know, but when I hear the word taken into account, you attempted to incorporate what you thought?

COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: I took into account the things that we talked about where there was one hundred percent agreement.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner, if I could just interject for one second.

I think what Commissioner Heuchan is saying is that those things that reached a hundred percent by a census, he incorporated into his Amendment .

Is that a true statement?
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Yes.
Now, I could be -- there might have been -I mean, President Gaetz and President -- I mean other people can chime in.

I don't know of a thing in that Working Group that was discussed where there was agreement that's not addressed in my Amendment.

By agreement, I mean, if I have voted no, that's not agreement.

Commissioner Newsome. Are there things, Commissioner Newsome? Okay.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Yes, there are.
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: All right. Fair enough.

I said I didn't know, but the things that

I thought had agreement I put in here. I certainly didn't leave out anything on purpose.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Heuchan.

Commissioner Kruppenbacher.
COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: I would propose to move to adopt the rules as proposed by the Commissioner subject to us going paragraph by paragraph and identifying what are the issues so at least we've got a road map and we're beginning to move. Otherwise, I feel like I'm watching a bouncing ball moving all over the place.

If that document is in place, we can rip it apart, abandon it, and address each issue as we move down the road.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Is there any more questions from the Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: That was a motion by me.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes. There is a motion on the floor.

Does it have a second?
COMMISSIONER THURLOW-LIPPISCH: I'11 second it.

THE COURT REPORTER: Who was that?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Thurlow-Lippisch made the second.

Thank you.
So now we go to debate.
COMMISSIONER SOLARI: Question.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Solari. COMMISSIONER SOLARI: So is it my understanding -- or is my understanding correct that if we approve this motion, then we're throwing out everything else about how we're going forward with amendments, and then just simply taking the main five, six points, and going through those one by one and voting on those individually to incorporate them into --

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: My view would be: We would adopt this as the template, and then any Commissioner could raise any item they wanted to amend to include, delete, revise.

COMMISSIONER SOLARI: Okay. So then we can bring up any amendment at this time, then just go forward that?

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Yes.
COMMISSIONER SOLARI: Okay, thank you.
UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: We wrote the rule.

UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes, Commissioner Newsome.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: I've passed this out. I think it's a great idea and it goes along with this.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: There's a motion, and it's been seconded. And I think procedurally we go to debate.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, Mr. Chair --
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: So --
COMMISSIONER LEE: This is Tom Lee. I have a question since we're in questions.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay.
COMMISSIONER LEE: So to pick up on Commissioner Solari's questions, if I'm hearing the answer correctly, this motion would completely rewrite the rules that were sent out by the Chairman because it would take up the Strike Everything Amendment that Commissioner Heuchan has proposed, and then it would make all the other amendments that have been filed and are before us available for further amendment to his Strike-All.

Is that what I'm hearing?

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Yes.
We would then be able -- we'd have a template. And we'd say: Okay, let's go. What's the first issue that needs to be changed, modified, incorporated? But at least we'd be going down a document as supposed to, in my opinion, hop-scotching all over them.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Sprowls.
COMMISSIONER SPROWLS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I would like to ask Commissioner Kruppenbacher a question.

If we were to do what you're suggesting, the provision, if I understand correctly, 9.3, for changes in the rules; that if any further changes in the rules -- so to take your point and if we were to adopt these rules as a template and then go forward and make changes, you need a two-thirds vote of all of the membership in order to make a single change to these rules.

Is that your understanding?
COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: No.
My understanding is what my motion was. We're adopting the template with the authority to change anything in it. So to the extent that
requires two-thirds, that is not binding for the purpose of what we're going to do today.

And if that makes it complex to you, it's not to me.

The reality is: If we don't get a document on this table and start going line by line, we will be here five hours from now debating procedures and every other item.

So my motion includes that we are not bound by that provision. And we can amend, we can alter, we can modify.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Cerio.
COMMISSIONER CERIO: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.
You know, there's a lot of passion in this room, and we want to get started. We want to have debates.

And I don't think it is stifling to debate to recognize that when we take votes, votes are going to have consequences.

There are a lot of proposals in here.
And I would like Staff to correct me if I'm wrong.

But there are ramifications.
First of all, a lot of people worked very
hard, with all due respect with Commissioner Kruppenbacher. A lot of folks worked very hard to file amendments, to file amendments to amendments because there wasn't going to be no opportunity for floor amendments, which I think is, essentially, what you're asking for, Commissioner, if I'm wrong --

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Yes.
COMMISSIONER CERIO: And there are consequences.

You know, if Commissioner Heuchan's proposal has a deal breaker in there for you, then don't vote for $i t$.

If it's acceptable, or if you can live with it -- you think it's a good compromise -- then vote for it.

But, I mean, we have a proposal on the floor. We can debate it.

There are consequences if you adopt his Strike-Al1 proposal. We are finished, correct me if I'm wrong.

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: No, we are not finished. I just said what that motion was.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Your amendment -- your motion is different than adopting his Amendment.

His Amendment adopts the rules completely, and we're done.

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: No, Commissioner, that's not what I said.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: No, I know that's not what you said. I recognize that. Yes, sir. I recognize that you --

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: If we adopt it, we adopt it with that understanding that we're not considering us done.

COMMISSIONER CERIO: Commissioner, I'm sorry, I wasn't finished.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I'm sorry, I apologize for that.

Commissioner Cerio.
COMMISSIONER CERIO: That's okay.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I apologize.
COMMISSIONER CERIO: So I just want -again, I'm not trying to stifle debate, but --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: There are no floor amendments.

COMMISSIONER CERIO: Right, right.
We -- we -- a lot of people did a lot of work because we weren't going to have floor amendments.

If you like Commissioner Heuchan's proposal, vote for it. If you don't like it, vote it down.

I like it, but, you know, I'm one vote.
So I think it will -- I don't want to, again, stifle debate, but I don't think this format is going to stifle it.

It's an Amendment to an Amendment. It's a Strike-All.

There are consequences for voting for it when we're done unless I'm wrong; but I don't think we want to go down the path of floor amendments. You talk about chaos, that will be chaos.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Cerio.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Newsome.
COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: So I've heard two different things.

I've heard Senator -- Commissioner Heuchan.
I just made you a Senator.
Commissioner Heuchan's Amendment would be sort of a package deal. It's my understanding if that passes, because it's been announced, there
are no floor amendments, that effectively end debate.

I've heard, secondly, that Commissioner Kruppenbacher would suggest that we ought to then take a vote so that we can consider each thing piecemeal.

Those two things are two different things. I'm just --

My question is: What is the motion on the floor?

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: I will say it again. It is a motion to adopt Commissioner Brecht's Amendments as the rules with the understanding that we are not going to be bound by that one provision that says there can't be amendments. And then we are going to go through everybody's amendments and say: Is there anybody -- is there anything that anybody considers significant.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Heuchan.
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Why don't we just
vote on Kruppenbacher's Amendment -- or his motion. And if it carries, then we'11 do what he suggests. If it doesn't, then we won't.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Patronis,

Commission Timmann and Commissioner Martinez in that order.

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This is Jimmy Patronis.
I don't agree with Commissioner Heuchan. And no disrespect.

There was a deadline. Amendments were filed by the deadline. I don't think -- if there were floor amendments, I would've been prepared for floor amendments. So I don't think now we go change the rules at the last second.

Going back to what Commissioner Cerio said: If you don't like Commissioner Heuchan's Amendment, vote it down.

I'm not the Chairman, you're the Chairman, but I think Commissioner Kruppenbacher's motion is not well and timely filed.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: A11 right. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: I would like to echo Commissioners Patronis and Cerio's comments about changing the rules right now and allowing floor amendments for all of us who, again, worked so hard on trying to match Amendments to substitutes, to all sorts of things.

I agree with Commissioner Schifino that we want to hear from everyone.

If people want to individually debate each of those components -- debate is one thing. Floor amendments is something entirely different that I, for one, would rather be prepared for and have the opportunity to do the full research.

And, of course, when we're looking at this, I'm sure not everyone had the opportunity to do a line-by-line side-by-side comparison to identify every single change.

I will tell you I do feel that Commissioner Heuchan hit on the points.

As a member of the Working Group, I feel that all the points were addressed. Whether they were addressed exactly as it came out of the Working Group, I think that's a matter of opinion for individuals. However, I do feel they're addressed. I believe that he hit every single point, as Commissioner Newsome raised, that we brought up as concerns.

So that would be my preference is that we stick to the instructions that were provided to us about this meeting, which was no floor amendments, and go ahead and move forward on

Commissioner Heuchan's proposal and debate and vote up or down.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Martinez, then Commissioner Plymale.

COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Mr. Chair, I happen to agree with what has been said.

There are some rules, actually, to this meeting, and they came from you. And rule number 6 said that there should be no floor amendments. So we should abide by that rule; just vote it up or down.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Great. Thank you very much.

Commissioner Plymale.
And then we'll continue taking questions.
COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: And comments.
COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: I would like to point out that I agree with Commissioner Sprowls.

If we get a set rules, say, they're Commissioner Heuchan's, we have the ability not to do amendments today, because we were told no amendments on the floor for the rules that we're adopting.

However, we have the ability to adopt, change the rules, as we go forward, by a two-thirds vote. It's in 9.3. It's in the Strike-A11 Amendment. It's in everybody's Amendment. Everybody who was doing it, it's there.

So if we find out that there's something that doesn't work, we can bring it up, but it will require a two-thirds vote. And that's fair. COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Mr. Chairman -CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I'm sorry, who was it? COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Go ahead. COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, my idea with floor amendments were we would deal only with the floor amendments that were filed. Nobody could bring up anything new, so I'11 clarify that for the record.

But I make the motion, then, just to approve the rules.

COMMISSIONER KARLINSKY: I'11 second that.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: There is a motion to just approve Mr. Heuchan's Amendment, and there's a second by Commissioner Karlinsky on the floor. So in debate. In debate, of course.

So go into debate.

Commissioner Gaetz.
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: I yield to
Commissioner Newsome.
COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: And just so -- not to beat this up.

And, again, I commend Senator Heuchan, and I think that all of his points --

UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: You said senator.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Did I say that?
(In unison: Yes.)
COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: That's what I'm going to start calling him.

COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Hey, Rich, I'11 take it.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: It pays more than this job.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Just so everyone understands, I think he's done a sincere job of trying to do this, but there are several things that were discussed at the Rules Committee, one of which was open meeting, which we did not get to vote on, which we've decided to push, that's not in here.

Second, Senator Joyner had suggested a Vice-Chairman. That's not in here.

There's also a couple -- some language -and this is me doing this at one a.m. last night. But if you look at my little cheat sheet here -and this is very rough -- but on page 2 there's the elimination of a couple provisions that I think are significant.

One is on page 14, Section 2.13. It eliminates -- and I used the page -- the pagination on the lower right of the package.

But the elimination of automatic reporting when a committee meets a deadline.

UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: What are you looking at?

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: What's that? Yeah, this is a cheat sheet that I just made up.

No, this is the second one.
UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: Do you have extra copies for us?

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Do you want to pass that around?

It's my last one.
I only made 20. I made them this morning real early on my way over here.

On page 16, it eliminates substitute amendments. Just delete that. That provision was in Section 3.6 of the 1997 Rules.

There are also several things -- we didn't even get two-thirds of the rules when we had the Working Group meeting, but there are other things that I think are -- are significant.

Oh, another thing that we talked about that we agreed to, I thought, unanimously, was the proviso language from the legislature. That's not contained in this.

And there is -- Ethics and Election is actually combined in your rule. And we had talked about separating those, Commissioner Heuchan. It's combined, and we had talked about breaking that out because of the issues.

This doesn't address proposals from the public. It doesn't address, as I said, open meeting.

If you look at -- there's other amendments. Amendment 52 by Plymale.

So there's other things that probably happened as other things are not here.

Any my only suggestion -- the only reason that I want to not vote for this is because I
think that the more elegant solution -- all of these amendments have essentially been drafted to Amendment 6.

And Amendment 6 is the Strike-A11 that we start with the body of the 1997 Rules, and then let's take them up issue by issue.

And there's just not that many of them.
And I believe that we can come to a consensus probably pretty relatively -- and relatively shorter. Certainly by the end of the day.

But that my having to vote in block with all these, especially when language has been removed. And these Amendments -- these Amendments and Substitute Amendments have all been drafted specifically to the '97 Rules. It's going to get incredibly difficult to follow.

So that's -- that's the only reason I'm going to vote against this. I think it leaves some things out. There's some language that we didn't even discuss that's no longer here.

And I think the cleaner solution that will allow us to get through this by the end of the day is to just take a pass on this, and then revisit it issue by issue according to '97 Rules.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Newsome.

Anyone else that had comments and debate on this?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I do.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Johnson is recognized.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yes. If we were to take a vote on Commissioner Heuchan's Amendment and the vote were to pass, the Rules Committee still has opportunity to recommend amendments, right?

We're not taking floor amendments, right?
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We're not doing floor amendments, that's correct.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Right. But the Rules Committee would still have an opportunity to --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes.
COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: -- make further amendments to --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes, ma'am. At the committee level, absolutely.

Yes, that is correct.
Commissioner Carlton, then Commissioner

Timmann.
COMMISSIONER CARLTON: Are we in debate on Commissioner Heuchan's Amendment? Is that -that's what I wanted to speak to is in debate? So I -- is that where we are?

I wanted to speak to in favor.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We11, we've been debating Commissioner Kruppenbacher's motion.

But does Commissioner Kruppenbacher have anything to say?

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: No, but my motion is effectively about those rules, so just discussing them, I think, is relevant.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay.
Yes, Commissioner Carlton.
COMMISSIONER CARLTON: So any debate then on --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes.
COMMISSIONER CARLTON: -- the Amendment.
So in support of the Amendment, I wanted to address one of the points that Commissioner Newsome mentioned that was contained in the Amendment and that was the legislative proviso language.

It is completely unnecessary for this body
to adopt the proviso language that the legislature put in the Appropriations Budget. That stands on its own.

If we do not abide by that proviso language and this Commission goes away because we have no more funding, so it's sort of self-implementing provision in -- of the Appropriations Budget.

So I don't think it is -- you know, it's fatal for that to be left out of the Amendment. And I just wanted to make sure that the Commissioners understood that because it is important that we abide by that language. And, obviously, we're going to have to because it's in the Appropriations Budget. So we don't need the extra incentive of it being contained within our own budget, within our own rules.

In addition to that, I really want to commend the Commissioner for his efforts to put together what really is a compromised document from listening to all of us on this Commission, as well as members of the public.

In all my years of being in the legislature, I'm not sure I've ever seen a letter from 13 or 14 entities, basically, saying: Please adopt the '97-'98 Rules.

I mean, that was really overwhelming, in my opinion, from reading that. If you looked at the organizations that were at the top of that letterhead, it was very, very overwhelming to see such support.

And so I think going from the basis of the '97-98 Rules and then making the changes as outlined by the Commissioner was really an effort to not only address the Commissioner's concerns but the public's concerns with the rules.

And so I want to commend him for doing that.

In addition, if there is a Commissioner on here that is not happy with any of these rules, there is -- if this Amendment is adopted, there is a process in place to change those, as has previously been mentioned, by a two-thirds vote.

So it is not as if going forward, things can't change. If we find out this -- it needs to be changed, or this needs to be amended, or this Commissioner has a very good point, or whatever, there's a process in place for that.

And I think that's what the adoption of what this Amendment does. It puts a process in place so that we can move forward with the more
important aspects of our job, which is not sitting in here and discussing any more about rules. It's moving on to the ideas of the public and the ideas that these commissioners have with regard to our Constitution.

And so there's this saying: Don't let the perfect be the enemy of the good.

I mean, we have a very solid amendment on the floor right now. And so I would encourage the commissioners to vote yes on that amendment.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Carlton.

Commissioner Timmann, and then General Bondi.

COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: Thank you, Chairman.
I'm also speaking in favor of Commissioner Heuchan's Amendment.

I have to say I spoke in our community just this week, and they -- I came in, and they -absolutely nothing about the work of this Commission; nothing.

And they were certain, by the way, that there were five commissioners.

So we went from there to talking about the good work that we could do.

I have them excited. They were trying to figure out how they could be involved.

And then they started asking questions, specific questions, about our timing, about our committee structure; all of these things. And I had to look at them and say: Gee, sorry. You know, we don't have that structure in place.

And at that point I decided I'm not leaving here without a set of rules, and then we can go back home and say: Now we're able to focus on the things you asked us to focus on.

The Floridians asked us to focus.
I know I'm focused on behalf of -- and for those of us who have children here, I want to make Florida a better place for our children.

And I believe that we all share those similar goals.

And I hate to see any conversations about sides, or divisiveness. It's time for us to join together, adopt a set of rules that works. It's not perfect.

I'm not going to say I favor a hundred percent of everything, but I can say I believe this Amendment addresses the primary issues that we raised.

For instance, I believe that this -- that Commissioner Heuchan's Amendment actually ensures greater participation by individual members than the '97-'98 Rules did.

They certainly didn't have mathematical proportionality on the Rules Committee, and this does.

Senator Gaetz raised that at our Working Group, and I feel that we addressed that.

Also, it defines select committees, clarifies that, ensures that there's a fair process, and even handiness about select committees.

It does include Section 1.23.
Everyone here knows Sunshine is very important to me. Open meetings are very important to me.

That speaks directly to what we've heard from the communities. That's adopted in this as well.

I agree with Commissioner Carlton, and I was going to raise that issue.

Proviso language, I do believe speaks for itself from the legislature, if we receive those funds.

So when I give that actually a Strike-A11 to Commissioner Newsome's, I went ahead and excluded that for that reason.

I was firmly -- I believed in, what I call affectionately, the Resurrection Amendment for the ability of the minority to be able to remove things from Committee, bring them forward, whether they were heard in Committee, whether they failed, and go ahead and bring those forward based on a majority to decide and bring it to the full Commission.

We're one group. You know, I think --
And Commissioner Schifino mentioned majority as well.

I think it's just time we joined together, moved forward, and did what we're really here to do.

So I'm highly in favor of Commissioner Heuchan's Amendment because I believe he gets it fair.

So, thank you.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Timmann for the comments and the clarifications. I'm sure it's appreciated.

Commissioner Bondi, and then Commissioner

Rouson, and then Commissioner Solari.
Commissioner Bondi.
COMMISSIONER BONDI: Thank you. Thank you, Chairman.

I'm echoing what Commissioner Timmann and Commissioner Carlton just said.

Sitting on the side of the road on my phone, listening to this the whole time, I'm thinking: Come on, people. We just need to work together.

We've got a set of rules.
Commissioner Newsome, in all due respect to you, we can all lawyer this all day long.

And this amount of lawyers and then very intelligent people are never going to agree on every single thing. And we need to move on.

Commissioner Heuchan, thank you for the great work you put in.

But just sitting on the side of the road, listening to this from outside, let's just move on and get on with the business of the State.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Rouson is recognized. Then Commissioner Solari, then Commissioner Martinez, then Commissioner Shafile (sic).

COMMISSIONER ROUSON: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Schifino, excuse me. COMMISSIONER ROUSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

And I think that the basic set of the '97-'98 Rules are good. I believe that the most important aspect of all of this is the ideas that we've heard from the public, but also the fact that the public cares about process. It cares about us adopting a basic set of rules debating amendments to those rules, but the beauty of what we're doing now is that it's occurring in the Sunshine.

My only concern and hesitation, really, is the fact that I favor the Martinez Amendment. The fact that it clarifies and makes clear and it comports with the current set of expectations of Sunshine and the way we ought to be operating that the public has come to expect.

While that might be assumed in the '97-'98 language, it is not absolutely clear. And so I hesitate because of that point, but I think that everyone can agree that we ought to do something. People are watching.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner. Commissioner Solari.

COMMISSIONER SOLARI: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.
Bob Solari.
And it turns out that my question deals exactly with Senator Rouson's.

I've asked different people what 1.23 means in the Strike-A11 Amendment, and I've gotten different answers from everybody I've asked for it.

And if we don't want to be lawyerly, I understand that, but this is the point where you have to.

I do not know what all proceedings means based on what I've heard from different people.

For me, my reading would mean that if two or more committee people got together had a conversation, that would be subject to Sunshine. Again, to me, that is perfectly clear.

If that were the case, I would have confidence in this Strike-All and I would go ahead and support it, but I would like to hear from Commissioner Heuchan if his proposal of all proceedings and records of the Commission should
be open to the public means that if two or more commissioners got together to discuss anything that we might vote on, that is what the meaning of what this phrase is.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Solari.

Commissioner Martinez is recognized, then Schifino, and then we'11 get back to the next Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Mr. Chair, thank you.

Commissioner Heuchan, when he was explaining his Amendment, mentioned me. He said that I had said that the prior Commissions using the language in 1.23 , as he is proposing, that was consistent with the Government and the Sunshine and the Public Records Law.

So I have a question to the Commissioner, actually who will be, essentially, the legislative history of this particular wording.

Is it your answer to this question: That 1.23 , as you have worded it, that that means all meetings, records, and proceedings of the Commission shall be open and accessible to the public pursuant to Section 286.011 and Chapter

119 Florida Statutes? Is that what your wording, as you're proposing, in 1.23 means, Commissioner Heuchan?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Aren't we debate? COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We're in debate.
Can't he just address those in his closing, then?

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Schifino is recognized.

COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: Thank you, Chair.
Commissioner Patronis just threw me a proverbial curve ball because one of my issues --

And Commissioner Heuchan, I just want to make sure with this group that as I look at it, it is -- and I'm going to reference paragraph 4.5 -- and I believe this is exactly what we've been referring to as the clawback or the resurrection provision.

COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: The Schifino Amendment, yes.

COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: I wasn't going to call it that, but, thank you.

COMMISSIONER CERIO: I've been calling it
that for two weeks.
COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: But I've been looking around the table -- and I want to make sure that we're all clear -- that we are all in agreement that that is what paragraph 4.5 accomplishes.

I read it as such. I've had my legislative consultant confirm that they read it as such.

But if there's any disagreement on that issue, I want to make certain that the -- and Governor Martinez referred to it as the legislative history, but it's the collective wisdom of this group that if we adopt this proposal that, in fact, paragraph 4.5 does accomplish just that.

And I'll finish with saying I appreciate and share Commissioner Rouson's concerns and issues. I had assumed that this particular paragraph that we talked about in Sunshine accomplish what I think what we -- what you were getting at; and you also, Commissioner Solari.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Any -- Commissioner Stemberger is recognized.

COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: I appreciate the courage in leadership. I appreciate collegiality and collaboration. I appreciate those things in Commissioner Heuchan's proposal. I also appreciate the comprises he's made without compromising on any first principle that I think would make it illegitimate.

I do have one issue. I would have liked to have seen 10 in the clawback instead of a majority. So that was my one hang-up.

My only issue now is -- and I really like this. I think -- even though I'm -- you know, it's not a perfect document. The fact that --

Is it two-thirds of the Rules Committee or the body could amend rules in the future?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: The body.
COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: The body, okay.
So I would just like to hear one more time if this is appropriate in debate.

The only thing that is creating pause for me now is Commissioner Newsome's -- the absence of those things that might be critical, but, I guess, if there's a two-thirds' ability to be able to correct and rectify things that are critical, we can always do that in the future.

So I just appreciate the leadership and the collaboration that's gone into this work. And I
think I want to support this.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I think we'11 ask
Commissioner Heuchan to address that in his close when we get there.

Is there --
Commissioner Coxe is recognized.
COMMISSIONER COXE: Here in the past --
THE COURT REPORTER: Speak up.
COMMISSIONER COXE: I'm sorry. My thoughts.

You had mentioned there were four essential departures from the '97-'98. If you could recap those, at least for me, what those four are again? We can do it in close or do it now, but just so I know exactly what those four are.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Let's entertain any other Commissioners, or we're ready to close.

I think we're ready to close.
COMMISSIONER LEE: I have something.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Nope. Excuse me. Commissioner, I didn't see you.

Commissioner Lee is recognized.
COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
I would like to make a few comments just as a matter of process and where we're at.

I know this is messy, but such is public life.

I wish I could run this Commission like I ran my business, but then we couldn't have any public comment because my business doesn't run that way.

This is our reality. And the only reason we are here is because someone took the initiative to draft a set of rules, to transfer the power of this Commission to the Chairman.

Now, I don't say that pejoratively. We all have a view of how this Commission ought to run.

I filed to strike everything that I believe will still be in order, even if this Amendment by Commissioner Heuchan were to pass, because it's a strike everything because I saw this coming.

I didn't know it would be 75 . I wasn't sure whether it would be 55 or a 105, but I knew that we were going to be here a long time.

The 1997 Rules were drafted by a bipartisan Constitution Revision Commission. Governor Childs was the Governor. Dexter Douglas was the Chair, and the House and the Senate were Republican.

20 years later, we're all doing what?

We're looking at what they did.
And so there's a historical context for all this, and what we do will matter down the road.

So while it may be difficult to listen to on the radio or to see this unfold, this is the most important decision this Commission will make; absolutely unequivocally the most important decision we will make.

The reason I think '97-98 Rules, as they were originally drafted, were a good starting point -- and the reason I filed it in addition to the procedural concerns -- is because I felt like, as you read the Constitution, Article 11, Section 2, as it relates to this Commission, it gave the governor a plurality. It didn't give him autonomy.

My view is that if the proposed rules were to pass, the only Constitutional Amendment this Commission should put on the ballot is to change this Constitution Revision Commission to allow all the appointees to be appointed by the Governor because no one else will matter.

So I thought it was the wrong starting point. As much as I appreciated the hard work, it simply frustrated the very words of the

Constitution that gave rise to this Commission to begin with.

So we went off. And in the spirit of cooperation under the amendment filing deadline for the original amendment I filed in 1997-1998 Rules.

And so from a procedure standpoint, by being up front, I disadvantaged myself.

The fatal flaw of this process here is that we were all shooting blindly in the dark, jousting at windmills, because we didn't know who was going to file what amendment to what.

And the person that went last with a strike everything was going to be able to offer their amendment because I guess we have no germanity rules here -- be able to offer their amendment to the first amendment and get out in front of everyone.

And so I think this is sort of prejudicial. I think the way we're taking this up is inappropriate. I object to it.

And I think while what Mr. Heuchan is trying to do is a good start, I would just point out, from a procedural -- from a policy standpoint, that we still have a set of rules, if
this were to adopt, where the most important committee, the committee that will place things before this Commission to be heard, will all be adopted by the Chairman.

And while there is this theoretical clawback, I personally see a conflict in what was read in Commissioner Schifino's comment of 4.5.

I see a conflict between 4.5 and 2.13.
And 2.13 that if an issue is reported unfavorably by a Committee, it's dead. And if it's dead, I don't see how it can be pulled from a Committee because it's dead.

So I don't think this does what has been represented by Commissioner Heuchan to do. I can't support it.

I think he gains the system and frustrates, you know, all the other Commissioners from a due process standpoint that have filed their ideas, many of which that are now going to be wiped out.

And I would just personally say: If this is the direction we're going, that we should take a recess and maybe consider whether or not it's worth proceeding forward today.

I'm sorry that this is so messy. I'm sorry that people are frustrated with it, but this is
life in the public arena.
And this is the most important decision we will make. And I just think it needs to be done with equity.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Lee.

Commissioner Keiser is recognized. COMMISSIONER KEISER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I was one of the Commissioners that attended the Rules Committee -- I was not on the Rules Committee -- and took extensive notes and had great interest.

And I'm speaking in support of Commissioner Heuchan's Amendment because I do see that there are elements that really do represent many of the Commissioners' points of view where we've reached consensus.

And several Commissioners today have mentioned the importance of this process in terms of the public's input.

And when I look at the Committees' structure, the clawback provision does allow for any proposal to be brought back to be put on special order calendar if majority of the Commission has agreed.

I believe that's critical as we look at many of the ideas and recommendations that we will continue to receive.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Keiser for your comments.

Commission Sprowls, were you looking to speak?

COMMISSIONER SPROWLS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commission Sprow1s is recognized.

And I think -- Commissioner Gaetz, did you have a hand to speak?

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: After Commissioner Sprow1s.

Thank you so much.
COMMISSIONER SPROWLS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to drill down just a little bit on that clawback provision, the Schifino Provision, the one that we've been talking about.

First, I just wanted to say -- and I think I echo the sentiment of everyone here --- that I appreciate the work that Commissioner Heuchan has done.

I think that I'11 steal a phrase from Senator Lee in that it is easier to critique than it is just to create. And I think that's true and that's fair, so I thank you for doing all this work.

And I think we all kind of share the sentiment as well that Commissioner Bondi -General Bondi -- mentioned, which is we're all kind of frustrated. We want to get on with the business of the people, but I do believe that these rules are important.

So let's talk a little about that clawback provision because I think that for many of us, who have concerns -- and many of us who, frankly, believe, as Commissioner Lee mentioned, that the '97-'98 Rules, frankly, I believe, are a better starting point for us.

I think we sufficient enough amendment in that sphere in order for us to get on with our business, as Commissioner Bondi had mentioned.

But on this clawback provision, not only do I believe that, consistent with what President Lee said, the 4.5 provision, which, for easy reference of the Commissioners, it's on page 67 of the proposal, is in conflict with Rule 2.13
because 2.13 says Committees can kill things.
So let's take this out for a second.
There's a proposal that's assigned to the committee. It gets a committee reference. We'11 call it the local government committee.

So it goes to local government committee. If one of the Commissioner says, okay, well, I think that's important enough for us to remove it from the calendar, to bring it for a vote for the full Committee.

I believe that this rule accomplishes that end; that goal.

However, if many of us want to give our colleagues, which I think we would, the opportunity to vet that proposal, discuss that proposal, raise all of the issues that may be at issue with that particular proposal, and then have an up or down vote on it.

If that vote kills that proposal in the committee, it is my reading of this clawback provision, that you cannot take, what is now a dead proposal and bring it to the floor.

So I do not believe that Rule 4.5 does what we have been talking about it doing.

Now, it is a hundred percent my belief that
it is the intent of Commissioner Heuchan, and many of you, for that to actually be the case; that regardless of whether or not a proposal dies in committee, the majority of us -- 50 plus 1 percent can say, you know what, we should have more debate on that, more discussion, we're going to bring that to the floor for a full debate after our colleagues had the opportunity to raise all the issues.

But this rule does not do that. So I just want to be clear and just raise that for the Commissioners to take a look and bring that into your analysis because I think we have two bites at the apple today to accomplish something.

The first bite at the apple, which Commissioner Heuchan's done a Yeoman's job of trying to put something together, I think creates some problems here with what we just talked about.

I think the second bite at the apple we have is Amendment 6, which was filed by Commissioner Lee, which goes back to '97-98 Rules with tweaks, then there was a series of amendments, one of which is Commissioner Martinez, which has gotten a lot of attention
today.
So I raise that for your consideration on this Amendment.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Sprowls.

Commissioner Schifino.
COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: Thank you, Chairman.

A point of clarification --
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.

COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: I jumped ahead.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: No, I forgot Commissioner Gaetz. I apologize.

Commissioner Gaetz, please.
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Then Schifino.
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: With your permission, I yield to Commissioner Schifino, if I could be recognized thereafter.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Absolutely, Commissioner Gaetz.

COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: A point of clarification, in light of the concern raised by President Lee and Representative Sprowls, am I
correct that we could not clarify 4.5 to simply say, specifically including 2.13, so that it is crystal clear that you are clawing back any and all issues, including those that, as Representative Sprowls just indicated, died from 2.13.

I think if we specifically reference that, but I'm not sure that that would be an amendment to amendment. I'm just trying to get to a point of clarifying what I think we've recognized as the clear intent of Commissioner Heuchan.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I believe that is the intent of Commissioner Heuchan's, as Commissioner Sprowls clearly stated -- and everyone in this room, as it relates to your well-crafted Amendment -- that a simple majority of this Commission can drag anything out of any committee at any time for any reason even though it is dead. That is, I think, my understanding.

So with that comment let's go forward and continue with debate.

Commissioner Gaetz, and then Commissioner Cerio.

Commissioner Gaetz.
Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I've been very interested to hear knowledgeable Commissioners go through the rules, as proposed by Commissioner Heuchan, and point out that there are areas which, notwithstanding how well he crafted his proposal, that are still apparently inconsistent, or apparently incomplete, or at least raise questions.

That would seem to me to suggest a reason why we ought to take up the clean 1997-'98 Rules as proposed by President Lee as a template as opposed to the motion on the floor which adopts the '97-'98 Rules as modified by Commissioner Heuchan; but those modifications create many of the questions that we have.

I agree with General Bondi that we ought to get on with it.

Since we have no rules of procedure, perhaps there would be a way to take up President Lee's clean '97-'98 Rules, and then look at the amendments that many Commissioners have filed against that.

If there were not a way to do that, then I would, at the moment, have to vote against

Commissioner Heuchan's proposal only because it leaves unanswered a series of questions which other Commissioners believe is important.

The Rules Working Group has been referred to several times.

And for those Commissioners who were not -Commissioner Keiser was not on the Committee, and still came and braved the entire four or five hours of our deliberations. And she ought to get a merit badge for that.

But for those who were not -- who did not do that, the Rules Committee only got through about one-third of the rules, and then we ran out of time. And it was our intent and expectation that we would finish up the rest of the rules at a subsequent meeting.

But, to be clear -- and I say this respectfully and accurately -- when the Rules Working Group went through the rules, including many significant issues, we came to consensus on everything except one item. And we voted 6 to 2, because we didn't have consensus -- to share authority over what goes to the floor instead of reposing that authority in a group of people solely selected by the Chairman. We voted 6 to 2
to share the authority. And the minute we did that -- well, not the minute, but very shortly thereafter, we learned that the Rules Working Group had, therefore, been disbanded. And now we find ourselves here today.

One advantage of adopting President Lee's clean '97-'98 Rules and using those as a template is that we can have a straightforward debate on that and the other points that Commissioners have mentioned and vote them up or down.

We're all big people. We can win votes. We can lose votes. That's going to be the case as we do our deliberations on substantive issues.

So, Mr. Chairman, if there were a way for President Lee's, what I would call or characterize as a clean and non-substantively edited '97-'98 Rules to be considered as a template -- I would wish that would be the case because we have no germanity rules, and apparently no rules of procedure other than those that we make up at the moment -- I don't know how we would deal with that suggestion.

But if we can't deal with it, I would reluctantly have to vote against Commissioner Heuchan's Amendment so that we could go to the

Lee Strike-Al1.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Gaetz.

Commissioner Cerio is recognized.
COMMISSIONER CERIO: I think it's fair to point out that, obviously -- everyone who's filed an Amendment, or an Amendment to an Amendment is doing what they believe is best.

Almost every -- the original draft rules that were presented a few months ago, the Strike-Al1 of Senator Lee's, the Strike-A11 of Commissioner Heuchan, they're all based on the '97-'98 Rules.

Let's be honest. All of us have -- we like the '97-'98 Rules, but all of us want some tweaks here and there. We're not all going to agree on that.

I think that Commissioner Heuchan's Strike-A11 does a good job. I wouldn't call it unclean, and Senator Lee's Strike-All is clean.

I understand the point.
But I think there's been a lot of hard work that's gone into this.

I think the public and everybody at this table has heard -- Commissioner Heuchan, as well as many of us, I -- with all due respect to Commissioner Sprowls, I understand his reading; but it was my understanding, and the way I read it -- and one of the reasons I'm supporting Commissioner Heuchan is because I do think that if a proposal dies in Committee -- if a proposal dies in Committee, it can be resurrected. It can be clawed back. That's why I'm voting for it.

The public has heard us say this: If we really need to vote by a two-thirds vote to actually make that clean, I'm confident it will be unanimous.

But that is my understanding, so I don't know why this --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner -Commissioner Lee, your voice is carrying over to the Commissioner's comments.

Thank you.
COMMISSIONER CERIO: I think that we need to have a vote. I think we need to move on.

If this is voted down, we will continue to proceed.

But to just judge, because you don't like Senator -- sorry, or Commissioner -- you got another promotion -- Heuchan's Strike-A11, let's
just -- well, let's just pull that and consider something else because you don't like the way this is going, that's procedural gamesmanship.

I mean, certainly all of us have the right to engage in that, but that's what debate is. That's what voting, you know, is.

But I think it's time to vote and move on.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Newsome.
Thank you, Commissioner Cerio for your comments.

Commissioner Newsome.
COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: General Bondi, I just wanted to kind of respond briefly.

And I swear to goodness, I'm not trying to lawyer this up.

But there are 6 issues, 6 real issues, that I think that either --

COMMISSIONER BONDI: Excuse me.
COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Sure.
COMMISSIONER BONDI: I couldn't hear you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: Oh, I'm sorry.
COMMISSIONER BONDI: If Commissioner Lee could just give us -- thank you.

COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: So here's my point. I think there are 6 real issues where there may be consensus, not lawyer issues, but real substantive issues where there may be consensus.

I've heard Brecht talk about a few. I've heard some questions from Bob, and from some of the other Senators about some of the others. Let me just hit those briefly.

And if we can have a vehicle for taking these up, maybe after it passes -- it seems like the room is for this -- that's what, maybe, Frank was trying to do.

These are all filed amendments, by the way. And so if we can just tweak them a little bit.

But here are the 6, from my perspective:
Number 1 is open meeting.
Mr. Martinez wanted to talk about that. He ought to be able to have a chance to do that.

I wanted to have a separate Ethics Committee because as the Working Group talked about those are going to get buried with lots of issues -- ethics and elections. So I would suggest separating them out. That's 2. I don't think there's any reason that folks wouldn't want to do that. I think we have consensus on that, but that's not in Brecht's proposal.

Third, the lobbyist restrictions.
Mr. Cerio came up with these. I think they're great. I can't imagine anyone would have any issues, but they're not in Brecht's proposal.

He's filed some really good Amendments to that. And I think we ought to be able to take those up. I think we got consensus.

Fourth, I don't know -- I think in the haste to put this together -- he was burning -- I know Elisa was burning the midnight oil -- but there were a couple of pieces of the '97 Rules that I think were accidentally omitted, having to do with substitute Amendments, and timing. Those are just, I think, accidentally left out that were there in the ' 97 Rules. I can put them out to you. That's number 4.

5 -- and this is a big one that, again, I don't have strong feelings on, but some folks may, but how is the Rules Committee going to get appointed? Does the Chairman get to appoint it or does -- or will there be a caucus of the appointing? It's something that we ought to be able to, at least, talk about.

And then finally is the clawback. I think
that some of the language on the clawback is inconsistent.

I don't think it maybe was intentional from what Brecht said, but we're going to live and die by these rules. And so we have the chance to get it right.

I think there's some really -- I think Commissioner Schifino had an elegant way that he wrote this up, but it still, I think, could have majority if that's an issue.

It's just, let's get it right. We have the chance to do that. I think we can have consensus.

I don't mean to lawyer this up or waste time, but that's the reason that I would have to either say, let's tap the brakes on Brecht. Let's take these substantive issues up so we can debate it.

It's only 6. And I think we got consensus on probably 5 out of the 6.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner.
I live in the real world, as most of you here do.

And there is a public record that's been created of everything we've said here today, and
it's on video.
So clarity, as it relates to the Schifino Amendment, is very clear to me. Because there's a nuance in the language doesn't concern me because the public understands that the Schifino Agreement is simple.

The simple majority can do whatever it wants and take anything out of Committee.

Okay, so at this point, I entertain --
COMMISSIONER JOYNER: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes, Commissioner, please, Joyner --

COMMISSIONER JOYNER: Joyner, yes.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Is acknowledged.
Thank you.
COMMISSIONER JOYNER: You enumerated your interpretation of the clawback rule, it's not what the rules say.

You further just stated that it's on record in our discussion. And that is true. However, when conflict arises, then folks resort to the court as to the actual interpretation of what has occurred.

We -- there's a great deal of frustration here because Commissioners want to axe some
rules and move on. And I share that frustration.
Frankly, if I had -- if we had it to do all over again, I would have hoped that we would have done this up front. Before we had the first public hearing, we would have promulgated our rules.

In this err of frustration in this zeal to get some rules promulgated everybody wants to move on.

So take the Strike-A11 by Commissioner Heuchan and go from there. He put in it what he got from the Working Committee. And there were some admissions, specifically what I mentioned, but that's -- so it doesn't represent all of what happened.

He said a hundred percent of what we agreed on is what he put in it.

We11, what about where I might have had folks agreeing with me 70 percent?

You know, we are doing the most important task right now, setting forth how we're going to operate as it relates to proposals and how they're going to get to the ballot, if they, in fact, get there.

We need to take the time to go through --
this is time-consuming.
This is what we hear in the legislature: We read every Amendment. We understood it, and we gave folks an opportunity to explain it.

We operated by a set of rules here by which we could, in fact, file amendments.

And now this Strike-All will, in fact, take away the ability, in my opinion -- and I could not be correct -- for us to hear the others.

Why not take the document that has been so successful, '97-'98, use it as a starting part and add from there, because there are significant, in my opinion, omissions from the Amendment that's on the floor, and give us the opportunity to have the benefit of hearing each one and debating on them and making a decision as opposed to just taking one person's well-produced document, thought out, but represents his thinking alone with what has been enumerated by groups, and the public, and us, but not necessarily incorporated all that was said because I think what I suggested is equally as important as what you have there.

And true it is not perfect, but we can make it better by starting from scratch with what
history has shown.
'97-'98 was a good document.
And there are some changes.
That clawback is a deal breaker for me, notwithstanding the Chairman's opinion, or his interpretation of what it is.

I'm an independent person, and I got my interpretation. And I don't agree with him. And that, in itself, is enough for me to say no.

We have an opportunity to do it right, and we should.

We got to put aside the fact that we're frustrated, tired. It's -- we might be here all day, but we got to get it right this time.

And to take the first proposal and accept it carte blanche, recognizing that items have been left out, and there're concerns about clawback and other provisions that are in the '97-'98 that's not in this document. And I, for one, don't want to have to look back and say, oh, my God, that unintended consequence that we did not consider on the day we sat to deliberate on these rules.

And I can tell you there are many, many unintended consequences that I'm sure that

Commissioner Patronis and Sprow1s, and others, can tell you that we thought we had covered them; and, oh, my God, we hadn't.

So I can't support it with the trepidations that I have.

And I thank you for putting your time in.
You and Commissioner Newsome ought to just join up because you guys together are dynamite.

And -- but I'm not ready to go there because I want to have an opportunity to hear some of these other Amendments that were made and have the opportunity to vote them up or down, and not just take something that represents a product that encompasses many great ideas, but omits so many others.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner Joyner.

Commissioner Stemberger is recognized.
COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: Mr. Chairman, I find that the new information regarding the deficiencies of the Heuchan Amendment is dispositive to my position; and so, therefore, I'm not going to support it.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you so much, Commissioner Stemberger.

And we -- would you like to close, Commissioner Heuchan?

COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Thank you for that, and thank you for all the comments.

I'11 try to get through and try to address a lot of what's been said and what's been asked of me by you all.

In 1997 and 1998 I was there. I was not on this Commission, but I was a staffer for the House.

And, you know, history has a tendency to kind of rewrite itself sometimes.

And we look back on that Commission and those rules in almost kind of a lower fashion.

You know, they were successful. They passed -- 8 of the 9 Amendments, they passed. They passed. And most of them exceeded the 60 percent threshold that we now have to deal with. Of course, that threshold wasn't there back then.

That Commission went through a lot of the things that we're going through at this moment.

And as a House staffer -- you know, I was on the House team. And we didn't appreciate some of what was going on. And we forced compromise. And compromise was, in fact, made.

Those rules that were proposed by the Steering Committee after months of deliberation, President Gaetz is right. There was a bipartisan group, but it wasn't at the time.

Speaker Webster was not the Speaker.
There was no Republican person on that Steering Committee from the House.

But my point is is that what we're doing today, it does appear messy. And President Lee is right about that, and that's okay.

It was messy before. They fought about these exact same things. And, literally, the exact same things they were fighting about 20 years ago, we're fighting about right now so much so that they passed rules and they, in fact, had to come back and change them again.

They had to change them again because they forgot something. Representative Sprow1s -- and I'11 get to your good point about the words that I chose on the clawback stuff.

But the fight of that day -- and it was in the middle of their deliberations. It wasn't at the beginning. So you can imagine how
troublesome this might have been to people.
The rules were unclear about the vote threshold that it took to commit a proposal to the Style and Drafting Committee. That was a war. They settled on a majority vote to do that, and that's what I put in my Amendment.

One of the things that we heard over and over and over again, as we went around the State in our 9 or 10 hearings was: Do no harm.

We heard it. We heard it from the most craziest of unlikely people. You know, we heard it from like the Americans for Prosperity Groups, right? So, you know, they have an ideology.

We also heard it from the League of Women Voters. We also heard it from the Planned Parenthood representatives.

Do no harm is what they said.
That's a subjective statement. I don't know what that means exactly.

But those are the same instructions that Governor Scott gave me when he asked me to serve in this capacity: Do no harm.

And then I asked him what did that mean. And he said: Use your best judgment, Brecht. Use your best judgment.

And I know that the rest of us probably got a lot of those same instructions from the people that appointed us -- appointed you.

But, to me, do no harm means that it should be hard to change our Constitution.

The lawyers in the group, I -- you know, I don't -- I have an appreciate for our Constitution. That wasn't borne out of law school. It wasn't borne out of some sort of liberty that I wasn't afforded, you know, like other people on this Commission.

But I understand what this document means for not just us, but our families and the people that live in our towns.

And so at every turn with decisions that I made -- and you're right. Some of these decisions were judgment calls that I made.

And I remember talking to Elisa. I'm like, Elisa, I don't know about this particular piece, and I need to think a little bit more about it and I'11 call you tomorrow.

And I put as much thought in this as you all did about your things.

And so $I$ know it's not perfect. I realize that.

But, with regard to -- you know, Commissioner Sprowls and I had talked prior about this -- I'11 call it a misunderstanding. It may be more than that, to be fair -- about what this clawback provision means.

So, Commissioner Schifino, and others, I just -- I want to make clear -- and I respect and appreciate what our Chairman has said, but my intent for the clawback provision is this: That if a proposal is defeated, or remains unheard, or any other scenario, that that proposal can be removed from that Committee, all of its Committees, bypass the Rules Committee, and go directly on the special order calendar at the next scheduled meeting. That's my intent.

And it's -- and I -- Commissioner Sprowls is a good lawyer, and I know that Mr. Buder Imhoff is a good lawyer. And those people think that that's not what this says.

Well, when our Rules Committee gets set up, I'm going to be there and I'm going to ask them to fix it.

Now, with regard to some of the things -and I think -- Arthenia Joyner, you're right. I mean, Commissioner Newsome and I did get together
a lot, actually. And we talked about lots and lots of things.

And I know that this Amendment does not do everything that he asked, but it does a lot of it.

And he has a view on some of the pieces that I didn't share. That's, you know -- that's neither here nor there.

But his point is a good one; that with regard to open meetings, or the Stand-Alone Ethics Committee --

And, Rich, I got to tell you, I frankly, misunderstood, I guess.

When the rules were proposed, Ethics was combined with something else. I don't even remember what it was.

I just -- I'11 just tell you I misunderstood you. If you want that one to be different even from Elections, then I'll try to help you with that.

The lobbyist restriction, same thing.
The Substitute Amendment piece, Rich, I think what you're talking is a Committee Substitute. And I --

You know, I talked to Elisa about that. It
does. It deletes a whole section of the rule, but it's not needed. And it's not applicable, as I understand it, when we have this -- it was an issue with the Amendments traveling along with the proposal. That's what that was about. And if that needs to be -- if that was erroneous, we can fix it.

The point is in all of this, is all of this stuff, if there's consensus -- and that's the word that Commissioner Newsome's used, well, by gosh, it's an easy fix. It's easy.

You guys can see -- I mean -- again, I'11 just say this. This isn't -- these aren't like nove1 new ideas that I just came up with because I'm so smart because I'm not smart.

Al1 I did was just try to take the best elements that everybody offered, that people said was their primary concern. Their primary -because I know their secondary concerns, but it was their primary one.

Okay, how do we address that? How do we attack that?

And I think that this Amendment does that.
Commissioner Coxe, the four things -- the four primary changes are: The revisions to the
consent items of the Rules Working Group.
And I respect what Arthenia Joyner has said. There might have been one or two that I missed. I did not do that on purpose.

President Gaetz is right. There was one thing we didn't agree to.

And, of course, I put my own version in there about the composition of the Rules Group.

But the idea -- and it was just like kind of damned if you do, damned if you don't on this, to be honest.

You know, people love the old rules until they don't like them.

There's little pieces here -- now, this could be better and this could be better. And, you're right, it could be better.

But when it gets better and that position is taken, it offends other people. We11, they don't like that.

You know, there's people that have a vision.

And Commissioner Martinez and Senator Rouson and others, you know, I know their position on Sunshine. I do.

But that issue cuts to the quick on every
little cache of us. It's universally felt differently, okay?

And I've talked to all of you -- not all of you, but a lot of you about Sunshine.

I just decided, instead of the rules as proposed, instead of the proposal by Commissioner Martinez, I picked the words that were in the '98 proposal. That's been embraced and endorsed by every group that I heard from.

The Rules \& Administration Committee is a substantial deviation from the old rules.

The idea that these rules empower the Chairman unnecessarily, I just don't agree with that. I just don't.

They certainly are diluted from the old ones, the old ones that everybody says they love.

The Rules \& Administrative Committee now is required to be proportional.

And I'm saying this publicly: I hope that our Chairman, if this is passed, looks not just at who appointed you, but other characteristics that make you special -- like where you're from, what's your background, what are you smart at?

Those are the kinds of people that I hope are on that Commission. And I have no doubt that
he'11 do that.
As I mentioned, Commissioner Coxe, there's another provision here that allows people that have a medical exception to participate in our Committee process only, would not allow them to participate in our floor process; but I -- it wouldn't matter to me either way.

If you have a medical exception for any reason and you can't participate and you want to participate, you should be allowed to.

This is Commissioner Gamez' language word for word, as far as I know.

The clawback provision, I talked about.
And I'11 say this again. I mentioned it earlier when I introduced it.

The clawback provision is related, in my view, to the Rules \& Administration Committee in so much as -- and, by the way, to the extent that it says what I mean, okay?

And so I've been clear about what I mean.
If that's unclear in the future, we're going to fix it. But when it says what I mean for it to say, the Rules and Administration Committee is more administrative at that point. I don't want to diminish their role; but at any
point in time for any reason, the proposals that everyone has so much anxiety about in terms of being heard, and having your voice, and all the things that I've heard -- and I agree with all of those things, you bypass it. You jump it.

And so, to me, this proposal, the Schifino idea put into words -- and Rich and -Commissioner Schifino, don't take this wrong. If he had asked -- his idea was a concept that I stole. I stole that, but it wasn't written out.

And so if that's -- I've told you guys -and I mean this -- if that's deficient in any way, I'm going to be leading the charge to fix it.

I've already said that these rules and our debate, it's an important one. I know that it sets the framework for how we govern ourselves going forward, and I know that it's really, really important.

But, in my view, when we get done with this journey that we're on as volunteers, at the end, when you look back, I don't think this will be viewed as the most important thing that we did; I don't.

We've heard tons and tons of things from
people that are important to them.
So I'11 just kind of stop there.
I appreciate the words that the people shared today and shared prior to today. I appreciate the comments from -- the time that it took from these outside groups, these advocacy groups to let us know how they feel. I went -took those seriously, like I know you guys did.

And I reconcile my Amendment with all of the suggestions that they made. And I believe in my heart of hearts that it addresses most of them. There's a couple that it didn't. And I didn't think those were fair.

So I'11 just close with that and ask you to support this Amendment with the understanding that I did the best that I could.

I'm asking you to help me. I'm also telling you that $I$ know that it's not, you know, the end all, be all, but it is -- it is, in my opinion, a compromise at nearly every level even though I understand that people feel differently about some of the numbers and some of the pieces, and I get that. And that's okay. I totally understand that.

But I appreciate the time that everybody
spent. I obviously appreciate the staff and their time.

And thank you.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you, Commissioner.
The Chair will entertain a vote to adopt the Amendment as presented by Commissioner Heuchan.

A11 those in favor signify by saying "Aye." UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONERS: "Aye."

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Al1 those against signify by saying "Nay."

UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONERS: "Nay."
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I believe the "Ayes" have it.

So we're going to have a roll call on the vote.

Please proceed with the roll call.
STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Armas?
COMMISSIONER ARMAS: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Bondi?
COMMISSIONER BONDI: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Carlton?
COMMISSIONER CARLTON: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Cerio?
COMMISSIONER CERIO: Yes.

STAFF MEMBER: Coxe?
COMMISSIONER COXE: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Diaz?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Donalds?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Gaetz?
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Gainey?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Gamez?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Heuchan?
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Johnson?
COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Jordan?
COMMISSIONER JORDAN: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Joyner?
COMMISSIONER JOYNER: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Karlinsky?
COMMISSIONER KARLINSKY: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Keiser?
COMMISSIONER KEISER: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Kruppenbacher.

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Lee?
COMMISSIONER LEE: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Lester?
COMMISSIONER LESTER: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Levesque?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Martinez?
COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Newsome?
COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Nocco?
COMMISSIONER NOCCO: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Nunez?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Patronis?
COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Plymale?
COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Rouson?
COMMISSIONER ROUSON: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Schifino?
COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Smith?
(No response.)

STAFF MEMBER: Solari?
COMMISSIONER SOLARI: No.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Wait, wait.
Smith?
COMMISSIONER SMITH: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Solari?
COMMISSIONER SOLARI: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Sprow1s?
COMMISSIONER SPROWLS: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Stemberger?
COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Stewart?
COMMISSIONER STEWART: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Thurlow-Lippisch?
COMMISSIONER THURLOW-LIPPISCH: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Timmann?
COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Washington?
COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Chair Beruff?
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Overwhe1ming.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Motion passes.
So now we'll have a roll call to vote on the resolution as amended.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Commissioner Lee.
COMMISSIONER LEE: Two questions.
First, can we announce how many members supported that and then how many voted against it? What was the --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We're tallying that right now, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER LEE: While that's happening --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: We can answer that question, if you like, right now.

No?
Go ahead, Commissioner.
COMMISSIONER LEE: So, I guess what I'm inferring from your call for a motion is that it's your perception that all of the other amendments that are before us today are out of order now because this Strike-A11 has been adopted? Is that --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I believe that would be the case.

Mr. Patronis.
COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: I call for the question on the resolution, sir.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: The question is called. COMMISSIONER LEE: We11, Mr. Chairman, point of order then --

UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: Second.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: There's a second.
COMMISSIONER LEE: So point of order prevails over a motion to call a question.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Okay.
COMMISSIONER LEE: So I'm not sure I want to proceed my with strike everything and put us through all of this given the vote.

I feel like I need to confer with the co-sponsors of that Amendment before I make that decision.

There are a lot of members that are prejudiced by the fact that the perception of the Chair is that this Strike-All wipes every other Amendment out.

Procedurally, I disagree with that.
I, too, have a strike everything. And strike everything should still be in order as a strike everything to the now adopted strike everything.

So I would just raise the point pending my ability to confer with the co-sponsoring members
as to whether or not we want to proceed with the other issues that are contained in my strike everything and the Amendments thereto.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I think we have a motion and a second.

I think we call the vote.
Thank you.
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Mr. Chairman, you have to rule on the point of order, please.

THE COURT REPORTER: Who said that?
Gaetz, thank you.
Sorry.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: There's been a call of the question. We're going to move to the vote.

Thank you.
COMMISSIONER SOLARIS: At this point I just have say to this: There seems like we have rules when some people like them; but we don't have rules when some people don't like them.

And if I was watching this from the public, I would be incredibly depressed and dismayed. Because I'm seeing -- the game here is played. The rules of this game today changed 5 or 6 times with the ebb and flow of things by people. And I find that very disturbing.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER LEE: Mr. Chairman, I apologize, but you have an obligation as Chairman of this Commission to rule on my point of order prior to taking this vote.

And if you rule against it, I respect that, but --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I rule against it.
COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Question?
COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Mr. Chairman, after we vote, could I state?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Absolutely.
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: Mr. Chairman, a parliamentary inquiry.

Could the Chair please state for the record what it is that we would be voting on next after the Chair announces the numerical yays and nays on the last vote?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: 19 yays, 12 nays was the vote.

We are voting for the Strike-All provision and resolution as amended that Commissioner Heuchan has proposed for adoption, okay?

So we'll go through the roll call again.

COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes, Commissioner
Timmann.
Point of clarification? I need it clarified, yes.

COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: I believe --
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes.
COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: -- what you're
saying is that we voted on Commissioner Heuchan's as the substitute for Commissioner Plymale's, and now we are voting essentially on that in total?

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: In total, correct.
Thank you so much for the clarification for the rest of the members, including myself.

Thank you.
So we'll go through the roll call again, please.

STAFF MEMBER: Commissioner Armas?
COMMISSIONER ARMAS: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Bondi?
COMMISSIONER BONDI: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Carlton?
COMMISSIONER CARLTON: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Cerio?
COMMISSIONER CERIO: Yes.

STAFF MEMBER: Coxe?
COMMISSIONER COXE: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Diaz?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Donalds?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Gaetz?
COMMISSIONER GAETZ: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Gainey?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Gamez?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Heuchan?
COMMISSIONER HEUCHAN: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Johnson?
COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Jordan?
COMMISSIONER JORDAN: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Joyner?
COMMISSIONER JOYNER: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Karlinsky?
COMMISSIONER KARLINSKY: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Keiser?
COMMISSIONER KEISER: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Kruppenbacher?

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Lee?
COMMISSIONER LEE: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Lester?
COMMISSIONER LESTER: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Levesque?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Martinez?
COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Newsome?
COMMISSIONER NEWSOME: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Nocco?
COMMISSIONER NOCCO: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Nunez?
(No response.)
STAFF MEMBER: Patronis?
COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Plymale?
COMMISSIONER PLYMALE: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Rouson?
COMMISSIONER ROUSON: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Schifino?
COMMISSIONER SCHIFINO: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Smith?
COMMISSIONER SMITH: Yes.

STAFF MEMBER: Solari?
COMMISSIONER SOLARI: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Sprow1s?
COMMISSIONER SPROWLS: No.
STAFF MEMBER: Stemberger?
COMMISSIONER STEMBERGER: No.
Staff MEMBER: Stewart?
COMMISSIONER STEWART: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Thurlow-Lippisch?
COMMISSIONER THURLOW-LIPPISCH: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Timmann?
COMMISSIONER TIMMANN: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Washington?
COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Yes.
STAFF MEMBER: Chair Beruff?
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Yes.
COMMISSION PATRONIS: I would like to make a motion for any technical minutes be made by staff, if necessary; technical changes for grammaticals.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Very good.
The motion is on the table.
COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: Second.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Thank you.
The vote is 20 yays and 11 nays.

The Chair recognizes Commissioner Kruppenbacher.

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: When I made this first motion, I made it with -- if you recall, to allow Amendments to be discussed. We then got into floor amendment. I don't deal in the world of floor amendment, so to me it was the amendments that were here, so I apologize to everybody.

I really don't want to leave today without us, Mr. Chair, having -- if you we could speak to how we would best now have those issues, like Commissioner Sprowls' said, that may be unclear; a process where -- I would hope everybody would go back -- who took the time to file these amendments. I did read them.

When I arrived today, I realized I was more confused than ever.

So maybe some of these amendments are no longer necessary, some are that are important.

And if we could maybe have another meeting or set up something where we could say, okay, we've cleaned it up, here's what it looks like, and these are now the 5 or 10 things that we are now going to discuss.

My whole thing was to get something on the table, so....

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: I think that the -- that we go back.

My comments -- my closing comments for this meeting is I would like everyone to turn in a list from one to whatever Committee that you would like to serve on -- that you prefer to serve on, and the priority being number one, and then further down the list, and turn it into our staff so we can start formulating how those Committees are promulgated.

And I think in the Rules Committee, that's where we will clean up these items as Commissioner Heuchan has recommended. And I think everybody here will go along with that.

And we will take those things up for clarification, and then have a meeting to review those and get the necessary votes that we need to make those more clear.

COMMISSIONER KRUPPENBACHER: If you could consider one tweak to that. And you may have meant to cover that.

As it relates to the amendments that everybody put time in to file, could those who
filed them let the Rules Committee know they're still active in their mind or they're not. And let the Rules Committee evaluate them and come back to us and that way --

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Now that we've got rules, look at your amendments. And those that are still -- now that we have rules, we can have germanity that are germane to the rules as opposed to ones that are no longer subject to the rules, and we'11 take them up. As a Commission, we'11 take them up.

Thank you so much.
COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to adjourn.

CHAIRMAN BERUFF: There's a motion to adjourn.

UNIDENTIFIED COMMISSIONER: Second.
CHAIRMAN BERUFF: Second.
This meeting is adjourned.
Thank you very much.
(The meeting was adjourned at 11:13 a.m.)
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